



## Open Access in the Nordic Countries

Ingegerd Rabow, Turid Hedlund

### ► To cite this version:

Ingegerd Rabow, Turid Hedlund. Open Access in the Nordic Countries: State of the Art Report & Workshop Views and Recommendations. 2007. hprints-00445420

**HAL Id: hprints-00445420**

**<https://hal-hprints.archives-ouvertes.fr/hprints-00445420>**

Submitted on 8 Jan 2010

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Open Access in the Nordic Countries

Turid Hedlund and Ingegerd Rabow

Commissioned by The Nordbib Programme

# Open Access in the Nordic Countries

State of the Art Report  
&  
Workshop Views and Recommendations

**Turid Hedlund and Ingegerd Rabow**

Commissioned by The Nordbib Programme

2007

Open Access in the Nordic Countries  
- State of the Art Report & Workshop Views and Recommendations

Edited by Programme Manager Hanne Marie Kvaerndrup and Secretary Gitte Krejbich

© 2007 by Turid Hedlund and Ingegerd Rabow

Published 2007 by

Nordbib Secretariat  
c/o Danish Library Agency  
H.C. Andersens Boulevard 2  
DK-1553 Copenhagen V  
Denmark

Tel. +45 3373 3373  
office@nordbib.net  
www.nordbib.net

The publication is available for download from the Nordbib website

Layout: Mingo Schmode, G2  
Printed by: Vester Kopi  
Number printed: 250

Translation of Part 1 - State of the Art Report from Swedish by Anna Aronsson

ISBN 978-87-92057-10-5  
ISBN electronic 978-87-92057-12-9

**Abstract:**

*The present publication forms the documentation of the Nordbib Workshop on Open Access in Elsinore 23-24 April 2007. The aim of the workshop was to engage policymakers and stakeholders in a discussion about challenges and possibilities for scientific communication and scientific publishing in the Nordic countries. Part 1 - State of the Art Report is providing information about the present state regarding Open Access in the Nordic countries, while Part 2 - Workshop Views and Recommendations contains an analysis of selected issues chosen as topics for the group discussions during the workshop.*

## Preface

Over the last decade, the rapid technological growth in communication, networks, e-publishing and digital filing has prompted a reconstruction of the publishing process. At the same time, the technological means of communication have highlighted not least the question of access to and long term storage of research information. The licensing terms of the major international publishing houses, soaring prices and sale of package deals of access to millions of journal articles have turned out to limit rather than open up for free and equal information access. This paradigm shift within scientific publishing is global and the fast evolvement of Open Access has influenced the concerns of funders, authors, publishers and librarians to take new directions. While areas of dispute still exist, it seems that there is a willingness among the members of all stakeholder communities to explore Open Access opportunities in a spirit of collaboration.

Nordbib has the goal to create a joint Nordic approach to Open Access and research distribution. In order to support this need on Nordic level, Nordbib has commissioned the State of the Art Report on Open Access in the Nordic Countries as a basis for discussion at the Nordbib Open Access workshop in Elsinore on 23 – 24 April 2007.

The aim of the workshop was to create understanding and dialogue concerning essential issues as quality assurance, copyright issues, strategies and policies for scholarly publishing and thereby put Open Access on the political agenda. Therefore the workshop was held at a strategic level with invited key persons from the 5 Nordic countries representing the ministries, research councils, universities and research institutes and libraries as well as researcher and scholarly societies, academies and university publishers.

In connection with this workshop a satellite workshop was arranged in cooperation with the Nordic Board for Periodicals in the Humanities and the Social Sciences (NOP-HS) concerning e-publishing on a practical level through showcases, best practice and business models.

The present publication forms the documentation of the Nordbib Workshop on Open Access in Elsinore 23-24 April 2007. Part 1 - State of the Art Report is providing information about the present state regarding Open Access in the Nordic countries, while Part 2 - Workshop Views and Recommendations contains an analysis of selected issues chosen as topics for the group discussions during the workshop.

In the near future the publication will constitute the basis of the Nordbib programme management group's political recommendations and initiatives at both Nordic and national political levels with regard to Open Access and, thus, seek to guide both Nordic national authorities, funding councils as well as rights holders, publishers and the research political environment towards Open Access policies.

The good advice, which Director of the Danish Library Agency Jens Thorhauge gave in his welcome speech to the participants, ended up being the say from the milestone workshop *Publishers are important partners - we can not replace them - collaboration is the keyword!*

Copenhagen, June 2007

Hanne Marie Kværndrup

## Executive summary (Part 1)

The state of the art report describes the present situation in the Nordic countries (Denmark, Finland, Iceland, Norway and Sweden) regarding Open Access in scientific publishing.

Comprehensive policy issues are presented in the report when present, as well as initiatives concerning transfer to a publishing policy more in the direction of Open Access. One of these is the immediate application of Open Access publishing at various universities or research institutes. Success stories and challenging areas are given in the report and are illustrated with concrete examples.

The report primarily deals with Open Access publishing of scientific journals, working paper series and doctoral theses as well as parallel publishing of scientific articles in publication repositories. The roles of the publishers are examined in connection with questions about agreements.

Open Access publishing demands a clear picture of the copyright to material published on the Internet. The report considers the central questions and initiatives to solutions to the copyright problems. SPARC Authors' Addendum to publishing agreements with publishers, Creative Commons licences for the distribution of material on the Internet. SURF/JISC Licence to Publish, the SHERPA/RoMEO project which gives information on the attitude of international publishers towards parallel publishing in institutional repositories.

Publication practice varies greatly within different science fields, which is one topic that needs to be considered when recommendation about Open Access publishing is delivered. You will find examples of the differences between medicine and humanities/social sciences concerning publishing as a means for research communication. For the humanities, the problem of publishing in the Nordic languages is illustrated.

The introduction of the report presents the background to the Open Access or free access to scientific publications. We try to provide a picture of the central stages in the development of scientific publishing and the Open Access movement. This illustrates the shortcomings of the publishing process and offered the possibilities of the Internet to distribute research publications with free access to all interested. In the following two sections publication patterns and the differences that exist within all science fields are described. Our examples are taken from biomedicine and the humanities and social sciences. Scientific journal publishing, specifically in the Nordic countries with small language

areas and small circles of readers, is one of the problem areas in the report. In section four, alternatives for solutions through some pilot studies in the Nordic countries are described. In section five to nine a country report of each Nordic country is given (Denmark, Finland, Iceland, Norway and Sweden). The report finishes with a discussion about future and existing challenges.

This report is commissioned by the Nordbib programme, and the report primarily functioned as a basis for discussion at the Open Access workshop, arranged by Nordbib, 23 - 24 April 2007. Nordbib emphasises that both the report and the workshop will form a basis in support of discussions between different parties to promote the access to research publications.

Our assignment as writers of this report has been interesting and challenging. During the work process, we have benefited greatly by the fact that for many years we have had the privilege to follow the development within Open Access, and we are especially grateful for the contacts we have established with many active persons, both in our respective countries and in the Nordic countries and internationally. We are especially grateful for all the information about projects and activities that so many have contributed to this report and we therefore wish to extend our sincere gratitude. A list of our main informants can be found at the end of the report.

*Helsinki and Lund, 28 February 2007*

**Turid Hedlund and Ingegerd Rabow**

## Conclusions on workshop views and recommendations (Part 2)

The state of the art report, views and recommendations from the workshop discussions form the documentation of the Nordbib workshop on Open Access in Elsinore in April 23-24, 2007.

The aim of the workshop was to engage policymakers and stakeholders in a discussion about challenges and possibilities for scientific communication and scientific publishing in the Nordic countries. The State of the Art report, Part 1 of the publication, providing information about the present state regarding Open Access in the Nordic countries was the basis for the workshop programme, choice of speakers and definition of challenging issues to be discussed in the workshop group sessions. Part 2 of the publication contains the analysis of selected issues chosen as topics for the group discussions during the workshop.

Among the themes discussed, we have chosen as challenges for the future the handling of copyright issues and the finding of financing models that support Open Access. A general recommendation was that information directed to the research community regarding copyright is of vital importance. For the individual researcher legal advice, provided for example by the own university, regarding the author's fundamental rights to parallel publish is one important step to enhance Open Access and fill the publication archives. A proper forum where copyright issues in respect to negotiations with publishers could be discussed is meetings between the Nordic Research Councils, but even more preferable are decisions taken by the European Commission.

The transition from a financing model where subscriptions and licensing agreements between the libraries and the publishers dominate, to for example a model supporting author charges is a long term project and might affect the scientific disciplines very differently. Extra costs for the transition period are to be expected. The employer role of the universities in an author pay model creates a need to find means to finance publishing of the research output of the university employees. An important question for future discussions is therefore finding possible solutions either in the form of funding included in the research grants or specifically established funds in the universities to finance publishing. A proper financing model also has to take into account authors not employed by universities and the differences between disciplines.

In addition to the above mentioned challenges the future of scientific publishing in the Nordic languages was seen as an important issue. Specifically the public funding of scientific journals publishing in the Nordic languages could be directed to support electronic publishing and Open Access. This could also be a subject for a Nordic plan of action. Journal publishing as an area for an action plan would also take into account the important role of the publisher as a collaborating partner.

*Helsinki and Lund, 22 June 2007*

Turid Hedlund and Ingegerd Rabow



# Contents

<b>Preface</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>Executive summary (Part 1)</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>Conclusions on workshop views and recommendations (Part 2)</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>Contents - Tables</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>PART 1: State of the art report</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>Introduction and background</b>	<b>11</b>
Commercial publishers	11
A challenge for the research community	12
Open Access closes the circle?	13
What is Open Access?	13
<b>Open Access publishing within biomedicine</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>Open Access publishing within the humanities and social sciences</b>	<b>18</b>
The importance of language	19
<b>Open Access journal publishing in the Nordic countries, some case studies</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>Country report Denmark</b>	<b>23</b>
Background	23
Research documentation and institutional repositories	23
Journals	24
Danish research databases	26
Research databases and Open Access institutional repositories	26
National and separate organisations' standpoints on free access to research information	27
<b>Country report Finland</b>	<b>28</b>
Background	28
Journal publishing	29
Open Access institutional repositories	31
<b>Country report Iceland</b>	<b>34</b>
Background	34
Scientific journals	34
Open Access institutional repositories	35
<b>Country report Norway</b>	<b>36</b>
Background	36
Initiatives	37
National policies	39
OA journals	41
Open Access publishing	42
Quality	43
Copyright	43
<b>Country report Sweden</b>	<b>44</b>
Background	44
Initiatives	45
National policies	47
Open Access institutional repositories	49
Open DOAR Directory of Open Access Repositories	49
ROAR - Registry of Open Access Repositories	50
Four different systems used by Swedish Open Access repositories:	50
Open Access publishing - U/UC publishing 'university presses'	55
Quality	55
Copyright Sweden	56
<b>Challenges for the future</b>	<b>57</b>
Funding	57
Quality control	57
Reviewing publication data	57
Copyright	58
Long term preservation	58
Developing services	59
<b>PART 2: Workshop views and recommendations</b>	<b>60</b>
<b>Preface</b>	<b>61</b>
<b>Analysis of group discussions</b>	<b>62</b>
<b>Conclusions on workshop views and recommendations</b>	<b>68</b>
<b>References</b>	<b>69</b>
<b>Corrections</b>	<b>71</b>
Country report Finland	71
<b>Thank you</b>	<b>72</b>

## Contents - Tables

Table 1	Comparison of the cost effectiveness of journals. ....	12
Table 2	Number of peer reviewed journals according to Ulrich's Periodicals Directory issued in the Nordic countries .....	21
Table 3	DOAJ 2007-02-20 (6 titles search term Danish) .....	24
Table 4	Number of scientific journals from Ulrich's Periodicals Directory published in Denmark .....	25
Table 5	Number of scientific journals from Ulrich's Periodicals Directory with material in Danish .....	25
Table 6	Number of scientific journals from Ulrich's Periodicals Directory published in Denmark with material in Danish .....	25
Table 7	Number of open repositories in Denmark from DOAR .....	26
Table 8	Number of scientific journals from Ulrich's Periodicals Directory published in Finland .....	29
Table 9	Number of scientific journals from Ulrich's Periodicals Directory with material in Finnish.....	29
Table 10	Number of scientific journals from Ulrich's Periodicals Directory published in Finland with material in Finnish .....	30
Table 11	Number of scientific journals from Ulrich's Periodicals Directory published in Finland with material in Swedish .....	30
Table 12	DOAJ 2007-02-20 (6 titles for search terms Finnish, Fennicae, Fennica) .....	30
Table 13	Number of open repositories in Finland from DOAR.....	31
Table 14	Number of scientific journals from Ulrich's Periodicals Directory published in Iceland .....	34
Table 15	Number of scientific journals from Ulrich's Periodicals Directory with material in Icelandic .....	35
Table 16	Number of scientific journals from Ulrich's Periodicals Directory published in Iceland with material in Icelandic .....	35
Table 17	DOAJ 2007-02-02 .....	41
Table 18	Number of scientific journals from Ulrich's Periodicals Directory published in Norway .....	42
Table 19	Number of scientific journals from Ulrich's Periodicals Directory with material in Norwegian .....	42
Table 20	Number of scientific journals from Ulrich's Periodicals Directory published in Norway and with material in Norwegian.....	42
Table 21	Listing of open repositories according to number of repositories (ROAR 2007-01-27).....	49
Table 22	Swedish institutes of higher education participating in DiVA .....	50
Table 23	DOAJ 2007-01-17 (10 titles, search terms Swedish + 5 titles through other sources) .....	52
Table 24	Number of scientific journals from Ulrich's Periodicals Directory published in Sweden.....	53
Table 25	Number of scientific journals from Ulrich's Periodicals Directory with material in Swedish .....	53
Table 26	Number of scientific journals from Ulrich's Periodicals Directory published in Sweden with material in Swedish .....	53

## PART 1

### State of the art report

## Introduction and background

The first scientific journals were published in 1665 and each was, but in different ways, connected to scholarly societies, *Journal des Sçavants* in Paris as well as *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London*.

The societies considered it to be one of their foremost tasks to promote, on a collegial basis, a wider and more efficient distribution and discussion of the research findings made by their members. The journals became a way of presenting scientific news and establishing priority. The publications were then sorted, systematised and filed by the libraries. Publishing was mostly funded by membership fees that included a subscription.

In Europe a commercial publishing had already been established before 1945, while most scientific journals in the US were still published by scientific societies, universities and other non-commercial publishers.

With the intensified concentration on research and development after the Second World War, new disciplines emerged needing publication in professional journals. Published articles were used as selection criteria for appointments and allocation of research grants - "Publish or Perish".

Our current peer review system for filtering out less accomplished works was developed. The system of citing other researchers' works created a web of related articles - the prerequisite of the establishment of the citation index in the 1960s. Based on this, the status of the journals, the so called *impact factors*, was calculated.

The societies had an increasingly hard time in the 1950s handling the great expansion of research within the fields of medicine, natural and technical science. To maintain their high quality, they were forced to undertake a stricter selection of submitted manuscripts resulting in increasingly drawn-out publishing procedures. Therefore the US government in 1961 decided that the page charges could be paid with federal funds to non-commercial publishers. With the help of the fees, the societies could publish more pages in existing journals as well as start new ones.

## Commercial publishers

The commercial publishers now began to realise the market potential and offered publishing alternatives by starting new journals, both within already established fields and in new sub disciplines. Commercial activities had to expand, and the demands for increased subscription proceeds through a growing number of titles had their consequences. The reporting was scattered over more and more journals with an increasingly narrow focus. Researchers needed to monitor a growing number of titles for each subject field. This increase could also lead to inferior quality, doubtful choices of subject and redundant and repetitive publishing. Special journals addressed small groups of scientists and small editions resulted in higher prices.

The society and university journals guaranteed quality by using selected experts as editors and peer reviewers. The commercial publishers adopted this model. Distinguished researchers were invited to contribute and were willing to accept the acknowledgement and to help establishing journals that would increase the status and the possibilities of publishing their own subject. The authors in their turn were attracted by the idea of 'free publishing'. As opposed to the societies, the commercial publishers offered publishing *without* page charges. The activities were prosperous and by the end of the 90s, the commercial publishers had conquered around 40 % of the scientific journal market in the US. The prices of their scientific journals went into a price spiral with prices far above the consumer price index.

The major journal publishers report a nearly 40 % profit margin - almost twice the amount of the rest of the academic sector. An increasing part of scientific publishing is today handled by global media companies that have grown rapidly during the last few years through purchases and fusions gaining cost advantages through economy of scale. Major price rises has been the result. A study of a large number of biomedicine titles showed that around 25 % of the price rises over a ten year period were related to mergers, and a follow-up of the entire STM field confirms these results (McCabe 1999). The prices were well over the marginal costs. The average price for 'non-profit' journals was 50-75 % lower than the commercial journals, while the average citation frequency on the contrary was substantially higher than for the commercial journals. In table 1, some examples from professors Ted Bergstrom's and Preston McAfee's database on journals' cost effectiveness are shown ([www.journalprices.com](http://www.journalprices.com)).

Table 1 Comparison of the cost effectiveness of journals.  
Price in USD per article and per citation

Title	Publisher	Profit status	Price/art	Price/cit	Impact factor
Ann Rev Psychol	Annual Reviews	Non-profit	7,16	0,51	9,8
Psychol Bull	Am Psychol Ass	Non-profit	11,92	0,78	9,8
Cogn Psychol	Elsevier	Profit	35,10	4,32	3,9
Personnel Rev	Emerald	Profit	360,09	744,52	0
Analytical Chem	Am Chem Soc	Non-profit	1,5	0,36	5,6
Int J Env Anal Chem	Taylor & Francis	Profit	37,84	72,49	0,7

## A challenge for the research community

The problems in the journal market cannot be solved through negotiations between libraries and publishers. The research community must contribute to the creation of a better and more efficient system for the distribution of scientific results.

That the libraries are the *direct* paying consumers while their users, the researchers are the *actual* consumers is, of course, a market problem. Normally, the readers do not see micro- or macro-economically how much their information needs costs.

The authors do not see it either. The incitements that guide them towards publication through well-known channels, limit the competition. The authors want to maximise both prestige and number of readers without having to think about the costs. The author chooses the product that the reader must pay for. The reader cannot choose the alternative - a cheaper product - since that product does not have the same contents and therefore cannot work as a substitute.

The market position of the publishers is to a large extent based on the copyright holdings. Authors transfer of copyright to the publishers, gives the publishers article monopoly - the articles cannot be published more cheaply by anyone else. It is, of course, hard to negotiate with publishers about products that lack competition.

To whom the owner's rights should belong, and thus the control of information about scientific results, is a fundamental question for the research community.

In their role as producers, the universities have a lot to gain from finding new ways of presenting their research results, ways that lead to a wider dissemination, increased visibility and thus to a larger impact. Therefore, the university and research community started to seriously reflect upon the traditional model during the 90s. Why should the universities, through the libraries' budgets, fund the qualified free work that the universities' own researchers perform as authors, peer reviewers and editors for the commercial publishers?

## Open Access closes the circle?

In his introduction to the first issue of *Philosophical Transactions* (March 1665), Henry Oldenburg wrote: “there is nothing more important...than to communicate to others what is discovered or practised: therefore, it is suitable to use the press.” Scientific knowledge shall be “Public Knowledge” and contribute to “the Universal Good of Mankind”.

We have seen that financial, legal and technical barriers put up by the publishers; result in limited visibility and accessibility, and thus decreased usage and influence. No consumer strategies have up till now been visibly influencing this. It takes a changed scientific model of communication.

It is time to close the circle and return to the argument for ‘public knowledge’. Many groups now maintain that publicly funded research results shall be publicly accessible. The scientific societies should be the natural advocates for Open Access and contribute to “the Universal Good of Mankind” by realising the advantages of a free and open distribution of research findings and scientific discussions within the disciplines they represent.

## What is Open Access?

An often used definition is the *Budapest Open Access Initiative BOAI*:

“By ‘Open Access’ to this literature, we mean its free availability on the public internet, permitting any users to read, download, copy, distribute, print, search, or link to the full texts of these articles, crawl them for indexing, pass them as data to software, or use them for any other lawful purpose, without financial, legal, or technical barriers other than those inseparable from gaining access to the internet itself.

The only constraint on reproduction and distribution, and the only role for copyright in this domain, should be to give authors control over the integrity of their work and the right to be properly acknowledged and cited.”

Two main ways to Open Access are often mentioned:

**The Green Road** - Open repositories, organisation-based or subject specific.

Normally contains reviewed and accepted works, but can also contain pre-prints. The Open Access institutional repositories of the universities are examples of organisation-based, interdisciplinary subject repositories, while for example *ArXiv.org* and *PubMed Central* are subject specific.

**The Golden Road** - primary publishing in quality-assessed OA journals.

Financing through subsidy and/or article charges. Examples are the journals from *BioMed Central* och *PloS*. *Directory of Open Access Journals - DOAJ* registers quality-assessed OA journals in all languages and within all subjects. ([www.doaj.org](http://www.doaj.org))

Standards such as *OAI-PMH (Open Archives Initiative Protocol for Metadata Harvesting)* make publications archived by, for example, the Swedish institutes of higher education globally searchable and thus incorporated in international contexts.

‘There are no free lunches’. Open Access is **not** free, but an alternative publication model for scientific communication. The question of costs is, of course, not insignificant. Between the poor and the rich countries, there is a Digital Divide with barriers in two directions: it is hard or impossible to finance input – subscriptions, AND it is hard for the scientists to find an output – to publish their results in the journals of the Western World. Open Access (OA) has been considered a solution for these countries and they have agreed upon a common *National OA Policy for Developing Countries* (<http://ncsi.jisc.emet.in/OAworkshop2006/pdfs/NationalOAPolicyDCs.pdf>)

Concerning the roads to Open Access, a series of important and probably decisive initiatives have been taken during the last few years. The coming sections will inform more about this.

At a conference in Berlin in October 2003, a historical step was taken for Open Access through the so-called 'Berlin Declaration': *Open Access to Knowledge in the Sciences and Humanities*.

The signatories form a long line of prominent research organisations and universities, for example, Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG - the German Research Society), Max Planck Society, CERN, CNRS, INSERM, Pasteur Institute, the Royal Netherlands Academy of Arts and Sciences. The Nordic countries are also represented among the signatories.

At the last follow-up conference in March 2005, an agreement on the following accentuation of recommendations was reached:

In order to implement the Berlin Declaration, departments should:

1. Introduce a policy demanding that their scientists lodge a copy of all their published articles in an Open Access institutional repository
2. Encourage their researchers to publish their publications in Open Access journals if a suitable such is available, as well as give support that facilitates this

Within the EU, several actions are now being taken to support Open Access and recommendations or statements have been made by *EURAB - European Research Advisory Board*, *ERC - European Research Council* and *EUA - European University Association*. EURAB makes this recommendation in their final report (EURAB 2006):

EURAB recommends that the Commission should consider mandating all researchers funded under FP7 to lodge their publications resulting from EC-funded research in an Open Access repository as soon as possible after publication, to be made openly accessible within 6 months at the latest.

The development within the different Nordic countries is presented in the country reports below.



## Open Access publishing within biomedicine

Manifestations on Open Access and the very important declarations about principles and support for Open Access, have in many cases taken place on a general level, where no distinction has been made between different scientific fields. It is still obvious that there are major distinctions between the different sciences in the way they present their research results and which model for the scientific publication process is applicable. There is a great difference between the natural sciences (Science, Technology and Medicine, STM) and the Humanities and Social Sciences, when it comes to the way they publish research results.

In a study on Open Access publishing within biomedicine, Hedlund and Roos (2007) enumerate a number of factors that influence the attitude of the researchers towards Open Access.

External factors mentioned are:

- The standpoint of the authorities concerning science and technology, the research funders' regulations in financial decisions together with the activities of the interest groups
- Increased demands for productivity and measurable activities
- Internationalisation and fierce competition for good results within the science field
- Geographical position
- Access to subject-based and local institutional repositories as well as Open Access journals
- The standpoint and possible activity plan of the organisation to endorse Open Access publishing
- Models of communication within the science field, e.g. concerning early adoption of new technological facilities

Personal factors mentioned are:

- The importance of acknowledgement and qualification among scientists
- The promptness of publication and visibility of research results
- Personal ways of communication and attitudes towards new technique
- Personal values

Two of the major players within research politics are *The National Institute of Health* (NIH) in the US and the *Wellcome Trust* in the UK. The attitude of these major research funders is openness towards research results and free dissemination and access to them. The NIH has under their former leader Dr. Harold Varmus, made a contribution already in 1999, by establishing a service at *The National Library of Medicine* called *PubMed Central* for the preservation of medical articles in Open Access form. The development process and the role of the scientific societies in the shaping of the service have been described in an article by Kling et al. (2004). There the scientific societies' strong, but divided role as publishers of scientific journals, but also as surety for the interests of the members of the scientific community's different research fields are emphasised.

According to an estimate by Zerhoni (2004), about 10 % of the medical literature is funded by the NIH. The procedure is that the NIH compensates the publishers for submitting published articles to PubMed Central. The publishers' and the scientific societies' strong roles have brought about a hybrid form of Open Access, which allows the publishers to use an embargo for one and a half years from publishing date until the article becomes freely available through PubMed Central. The NIH has also strongly recommended that articles with research results funded by the NIH should be submitted to PubMed Central.



A similar procedure is applied by the Wellcome Trust with the difference that they do not recommend, but demand, that articles with research results funded by the Wellcome Trust should be available in PubMed Central or in the British version of PubMedCentral. Below you find two quotes from the statements of the NIH and the Wellcome Trust.

### **Policy on Enhancing Public Access to Archived Publications Resulting from NIH-Funded Research:**

(<http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/notice-files/NOT-OD-05-022.html>)

“The National Institute of Health (NIH) announces its policy on enhancing public access to archived publications resulting from NIH-funded research. Beginning May 2, 2005, NIH-funded investigators are requested to submit to the NIH National Library of Medicine’s (NLM) PubMed Central (PMC) an electronic version of the author’s final manuscript upon acceptance for publication, resulting from research supported, in whole or in part, with direct costs<sup>1</sup> from NIH. The author’s final manuscript is defined as the final version accepted for journal publication, and includes all modifications from the publishing peer review process...”

### **Wellcome Trust position statement in support of open and unrestricted access to published research**

([http://www.wellcome.ac.uk/doc\\_WTD002766.html](http://www.wellcome.ac.uk/doc_WTD002766.html))

“The Wellcome Trust therefore supports unrestricted access to the published output of research as a fundamental part of its charitable mission and a public benefit to be encouraged wherever possible.

Specifically, the Wellcome Trust:

- Expects authors of research papers to maximise the opportunities to make their results available for free and, where possible, to retain their copyright
- Will provide grant holders with additional funding to cover the costs of page processing charges levied by publishers who support the Open Access model
- Requires electronic copies of any research papers that have been accepted for publication in a peer-reviewed journal, and are supported in whole or in part by Wellcome Trust funding, to be deposited into PubMed Central (PMC) or UK PMC once established, to be made freely available as soon as possible and in any event within six months of the journal publisher's official date of final publication
- Affirms the principle that it is the intrinsic merit of the work, and not the title of the journal in which an author's work is published, that should be considered in making funding decisions and awarding grants. “

Within biomedicine at least two successful examples of publication models work for providing free access to research articles. The first example is BioMed Central, which is a commercial publisher with over 170 journals within biomedicine. The other is Public Library of Science, a non-profit organisation, consisting of a group of researchers, who have undertaken to provide free access to biomedical research.

Each of the publishers thus provides free access to the final user, but their model of funding is based on the authors or the author's organisation or, as a last resort, the research funders paying the publication costs.

The publishers provide departmental memberships, where new members get a relatively favourable price, while the price when the service has been put into use is paid in proportion to the usage. The articles published in BioMed Central's journals and in PLoS are also available through PubMed Central.

It should be mentioned that several of the journals in BioMed Central and PLoS are now indexed by ISI and have in many cases received great impact factors.

Finland has entered into a licence agreement with BioMed Central about a national publishing licence for the years 2004-2005. For 2006 the price was too high, and thus no national agreement was concluded. Thereafter, the individual universities and research institutes have entered into own agreements for their researchers. According to Hedlund and Roos (2006), around 150 Open Access articles were published in BioMed Central by Finnish researchers, during the years 2002-2005. Norway and Denmark have also entered into national agreements with BioMed Central.

BioMed Central's funding model is not unproblematic, even though the outcome is an increased Open Access publication. The model redistributes the publishing costs plus the publisher's profit to the author and the author's organisation instead of, as was the case before, falling on the final user or the final user's library. As regards scientific publishing, the author, the final user and their organisations respectively, in many cases are the same, but it is, after all, a major principal change.

The research funders' role and their attitude towards the fact that the costs of Open Access journal publishing is authorised and is possibly also included as a compulsory part of the funding decision for research funding, can have a crucial significance in terms of where the researchers choose to publish their research results.

## Open Access publishing within the humanities and social sciences

International discourse on Open Access has primarily focused on journals within the STM field. Other disciplines have not been active. Especially within the Humanities, where the major part of the publications are books and book chapters rather than journal articles, the interest in Open Access has up till now been rather limited. It should, however, be emphasised that several of the most well-known advocates of Open Access have a background within the Humanities.

Peter Suber, who for years has been prominent in the discussion of new forms of publishing and specifically Open Access, has listed nine reasons for the lack of interest within the Humanities (<http://www.earlham.edu/~peters/writing/apa.htm>). The starting point is the existing differences between STM and the Humanities in the US.

1. Journals within the Humanities cost less than STM journals
2. There is more money within the STM field
3. STM is to a larger extent tax funded
4. Higher rejection rates for the Humanities results in a higher cost for peer review
5. Funders tend to see the Humanities as less significant in terms of 'public interest'
6. Repositories with *pre-prints* are considered more useful within STM
7. Embargo does not work as well for the Humanities, since the articles continue to be of interest for a longer period of time
8. Journals within the Humanities often want to have permission to reprint works of art, poems etc.. It is much harder to get such permissions for Open Access
9. The Humanities preferably publish books. Authors give away articles, while books can render royalties

Some of the common arguments for Open Access are thus not applicable to the Humanities. Number 9 points out that the researcher may have an economic interest in monograph publishing, even if scientific works in reality seldom generate any major royalties. Instead they should look at the potential number of readers. Monographs published by major university publishers in the US, often carry editions of around 500 copies. (Thompson 2005)

All science fields share the same interest in eliminating all kinds of information barriers and in being able to make better use of new technologies for searching and finding information.

In Sweden, a very large part of the Humanities research is tax funded, directly or indirectly. Tax funding would probably not be granted to research if not considered to be for the public good. This argument is, of course, invalid for both the Humanities and STM.

The costs for peer review are tricky to calculate since it is hard to separate the publisher's/journal's costs from costs carried by the peer reviewers' departments. It seems doubtful that the selection within STM in general would be stricter than in the Humanities.

However, the embargo period has turned out to be important for the Humanities. If STM are willing to grant the rights to Open Access within six months, journals within the Humanities often demand 12-18 months.

Many Nordic journals depend on tax funding and/or other funding. In 2006, The Scientific Council for Humanities and Social Sciences at The Swedish Research Council funded 28 journals with a total sum of 2,8 million SEK, i.e. on average slightly more than 100 000 SEK per title.

As for books, it has been shown, that OA publishing does not reduce sales, rather the opposite

because of the increased visibility. Interesting experiences from *National Academies Press* show that free online access to their books has had a positive effect on the sales of printed versions, whereas many, including some big and well-known university publishers, have had large financial problems because of receding sales. In 2001, it showed record sales of books, despite the fact that there was free online access to every page! The experiences of NAP seem to show that the publishers' fears that e-books threaten printed publishing are unfounded. Instead, web publishing can mean increased influence at a rather small cost and a small risk. (<http://www.nap.edu>)

NAP's website offers over 2,000 books, around 400,000 pages that can be searched, browsed and printed - all Open Access. The only limitation is that you can only produce one page at a time. The user frequency is high. From January 2001 until the middle of August, more than 3,2 million people had looked at 15 million book pages. During the same period of time, NAP sold over 40,000 books through the same website, which sums up to around 25 % of their total sales, and more than they sold during the whole year 2000. No negative influences on other sales channels have been noticed. (Jensen 2001). This type of web publishing seems to be able to produce the extended audience that many monograph authors lack.

It is well known that the scientific monograph has been experiencing difficulties for a couple of decades, partly because the university library budgets increasingly have been claimed by journal licences and subscriptions. Several debaters have urged a reassessment of the role of the monograph in research evaluation within both the Humanities and Social sciences.

As president of the MLA (Modern Language Association) Stephen Greenblatt wrote a letter that attracted a lot of attention (Greenblatt 2002). He pointed out to the members the dilemma they were in – the university libraries could no longer afford to publish their books but the promotion of young researchers was still depending on published monographs. This concerned not only linguistics, but also other humanistic fields that had been drastically cut back by the university publishers. Greenblatt sees a dysfunctional system that has to be changed. Books should not be the only channel for evaluating research, but if this proves to be inevitable we have to find other ways of ensuring their publication.

## The importance of language

A report from 2003-09-01, commissioned by the Nordic Board for Periodicals in the Humanities and the Social Sciences, and called *Fremtidens forskningspublicering – et nordisk samarbejde* (Research publishing for the future – a Nordic cooperation), discussed publishing in the Nordic languages

From the foreword in the Danish edition:

"I meget korte træk: der er en øget forskningspublicering samtidig med at de traditionelle trykte tidsskrifter står i en højst usikker situation. Især tidsskrifter på nordiske sprog, der har et meget lille marked, må betragtes som truede. Lukker de, kan en følge i yderste konsekvens være, at de nordiske sprog forarmes fordi den levedygtighed og fornyelse som forskningspubliceringen bidrager til, vil mindskes. I værste fald vil forskningspublicering på nordiske sprog ophøre og blive erstattet af engelsksprogede publikationer. (<http://www.nos-nop.org/nop/nop050903.pdf>)

*"In very short terms: Scientific publishing seems to increase at the same time as the traditional publishing of paper journals seems to be in a very uncertain situation. Especially journals published in the Nordic languages, which have a very small market, can be considered as threatened. If those journals are closed down the consequence could be impoverishment of the Nordic languages because the innovation and the surviving support which scientific communication brings will disappear. In worst case scientific publishing in*

*Nordic languages will cease to appear and English language will be the future language of Nordic scientific publishing.”*

The report proposes a number of publishing models and in a later project proposal in support of the Nordic Open Access publishing Open Access is considered a possible solution for the small languages.

Within the Humanities and Social Sciences there is an ongoing discussion about internationalisation. Anyone who wishes to take part in the international discourse and to have their research noticed must most likely publish their work in English. How then, does one deal with the demand that the language must serve as a perfect instrument in complicated discussions, where linguistic nuances are of the utmost importance? At the same time, publishing channels are considered more and more important when it comes to appointing posts and promotions. Publishing in established international journals gives credit.

E-publishing with Open Access can give new possibilities to contacts all over the world. Presenting interesting research results accessible to everyone is perhaps more important than having a completely idiomatic language? Should not the establishment of new, easily accessible, international communication channels be prioritised? This can be combined with OA versions in the original language, preserving the exquisite linguistic values and giving the general public the chance to take part of the research results.

It is a well known fact that the citation indices show a language bias in favour of English, and this has been published in several studies. A study by Van Raan et al shows for example that language bias plays a significant role in international comparisons of research output. One reason for this is that the citation indices also cover non-English journals, and articles in these journals have much lower impact. The US publishes almost exclusively in English, whereas for example Germany and France have a diminishing but still significant number of publications in languages other than English, thus “diluting” their impact. A more detailed analysis is needed to be able to show whether the publication language alone is decisive for the lower impact of non-English publications. (Van Leeuwen 2001)

## Open Access journal publishing in the Nordic countries, some case studies

Publishing problems regarding scientific journals that publish their articles in Nordic languages have been under discussion since the breakthrough of e-publishing in the beginning of the 21st century. The main problem has been to make the editors make up their minds as to electronic publishing and secondly Open Access. Table 2 illustrates the situation in the Nordic countries. The table shows the number of peer reviewed journals per country, and how many of these are released in electronic form. As is evident in the table, the Open Access part is low, as well as the number of journals that are listed in the Journal Citation Report.

Table 2 Number of peer reviewed journals according to Ulrich's Periodicals Directory, issued in the Nordic countries

Country	Total number	% online	% OA	% JCR
Denmark	218	63	2	38
Finland	98	40	6	12
Iceland	16	19	6	13
Norway	101	57	6	29
Sweden	159	47	8	24

The first report discussing this problem was the already mentioned *Fremtidens forskningspublicering - et nordisk samarbejde* that came out in September 2003 and was commissioned by the Nordic Publications Committee for Periodicals in the Humanities and Social Sciences. The report proposed a joint portal for Nordic journals. The proposal in the report has not been implemented; instead work has been carried out in smaller projects in the individual countries. Some of these are described below as short case studies.

*ELEKTRA* is a Finnish journal service and cooperation project between the National Library and Kopioisto (copyright organisation) that collects, publishes and archives scientific articles and dissertations within the framework of copyright regulations. The number of scientific journals amounts to 40 (of which only some apply the peer review process and some deliver material sporadically) and material is searchable directly from Elektra's web pages (<http://www.lib.helsinki.fi/ELEKTRA/svenska.html>). However, to reach the full text, an agreement needs to be made. The service is not free of charge, and therefore Elektra material is primarily used in the libraries in Finland. Elektra also distributes Open Access material from four journals, one of which is peer reviewed, *Elore*.

Elektra has been criticised by Open Access advocates for its policy on being a costing service. But according to Ilva (2004) the service is not likely to be opened up to free access. There are multiple reasons for this, on the one hand producing Elektra requires resources, and on the other hand many of the journal's editors and owners (scientific societies) are afraid of losing incomes from subscriptions and, in the long run, member fees, since the journal is often a member benefit. Elektra could therefore be an example of a service that could develop towards Open Access, but where the funding model is missing.



*TSV - Vetenskapliga samfundens delegation* (Federation of Finnish learned Societies) in cooperation with FinnOA and as a part of the project OA-JES (with grants from the Ministry of Education), has launched a pilot study for a journal platform. The project started in 2006 and means that the delegation of the scientific academy uses the software Open Journals System (OJS) to give journal editors the possibility to use the platform for journal publishing and editing routines. The project is still at a stage where only a pilot version is being tested and a small number of scientific journals (3) can use the platform and the system for journal editing. So far it is only the journals' editors who can use the service, but during 2007 the service is estimated to be launched for open use. During 2006, the solution was presented to editors and journal editorial boards and has aroused interest. The platform has also been tested with an Open Access bulletin, 'openaccess.fi', which is already accessible. (<http://www.openaccess.fi>). The funding model is open so far, and the project is funded for 2007.

*DEFF - Danmarks Elektroniske Fag- og Forskningsbibliotek* (Denmark's Electronic Research Library) has been running a small project, DEFF E-publishing, with the aim of giving small journal editors the possibility to transfer to electronic publishing (<http://deffetss.cvt.dk/>). In many cases scientific societies are editors and as a result of the pilot study (finished in 2005) the Copenhagen Business School Library is publishing Copenhagen Journal of Asian Studies and Technical Knowledge Centre of Denmark, DTV, is publishing the journal *Mathematica Scandinavica*. None of the journals offer free access, but *Mathematica Scandinavica* gives free access to older numbers. The work is carried out in cooperation with the editors at Aarhus University. The open source program 'MetaJournal', developed under Danish management, is used in this case.

*Copenhagen Business School* uses Open Journals System for the platform E-journals@CBS (<http://rauli.cbs.dk/index.php/index>) and holds four scientific journals at the moment.

*LiU E-Press - Linköping University Electronic Press* (<http://www.ep.liu.se/index.sv.html>)  
In 1996 LiU E-Press was established to manage the university's electronic publishing. From 2004 it has been a detached, non-commercial unit linked to the university library and with its own board. The main purpose is to e-publish texts that can be read and distributed as far as possible. LiU E-Press writers keep the copyright to their works.

*ETAI - Electronic Transactions of Artificial Intelligence* was an early experiment with a new publishing model where manuscripts that had been submitted, were made available to be openly and freely reviewed. After that, they were certified through the traditional, closed peer review. Those works that were not accepted had to stay with uncertified status. ETAI is presently resting.

Active peer reviewed OA journals from LiU E-Press (both in DOAJ) are: *International Journal of Ageing and Later Life*, founded in the spring of 2005, the first number came out in June 2006, the second in December 2006, and the third will be out during the spring of 2007, and *Hygiea International - An Interdisciplinary Journal for the History of Public Health*, which has had five numbers out since the start in 1999.

The editors of the journals are internationally prominent experts within their fields of research. In combination with strict peer review, this works as a guarantee for maintaining the highest possible standard.

There are plans to establish yet another peer reviewed electronic journal during 2007, about "... research and conceptual design papers /- -/ the source of the papers will be university researchers and advanced R&D people at companies".

LiU E-Press is currently working on incorporating its active journals into international databases. CSA is starting to index *Ageing and Later Life*. *Hygiea* might be indexed by *Medline*. There are negotiations with *EBSCO* and *Scopus* among others. This work has proven to be a very time-consuming process.

The aim is also to incorporate all published articles, even the passive ones, in a searchable database during the spring. Currently, it is only possible to browse in it, which is not very good from a user perspective. The same goes for series.

# Country report Denmark

## Background

The Open Access development in Denmark to a large extent follows the activities within the central committee for e-publishing in Denmark, i.e. *Danmarks Elektroniske Forskningsbibliotek (DEF)*, which changed its name to *Danmarks Elektroniske Fag- og Forskningsbibliotek (DEFF)* in December 2004. DEF started in 1996 by appointing a working group aiming to function as a collaboration between three ministries (culture, education and research), the Danish Library Agency and the following research libraries: State and University Library, Technical Knowledge Centre and Library of Denmark, Risø Library and Roskilde University Library. The idea was to establish an integrated electronic research library, which through networking and cooperation between the involved research libraries could serve to satisfy the users' need for research information. The initial stage of DEF lasted until the end of 1998. During the following stages of development between 1999 and 2005, DEF took the lead within the development of electronic services, the digitisation of journals, the Danish Research Database, the DEF-portal etc. The international cooperation within the project 'Knowledge Exchange', and also a revision of the strategy and action plan for DEFF, started in 2005. DEFF underwent an international evaluation in 2005 (DEFF web pages <http://www.deff.dk>).

The DEFF search service *Global E-prints* is a national portal to provide access to freely available scientific material (pre-prints and e-prints) on the Internet. The service uses OAI-PMH for the hosting of metadata from 29 repositories, among others BioMedCentral, DOAJ - articles and E-Lis. The service encourages Open Access publishing and Open Access publications through its visibility on DEFF's websites and through data deliveries to the local search tools of the libraries.

The education and research environment in Denmark is at present undergoing changes. The universities are merging into five major universities, incorporation public sector research institutions and also smaller special research institutes. Within the next two years, it will probably be noticeable how this might affect the Open Access publishing and the research institutional repositories.

## Research documentation and institutional repositories

As in the rest of the Nordic countries, the trend in Denmark is to connect the development of publication and research repositories (IR) with the development of a system for research documentation (CRIS). Both systems initially use the same bibliographic data, but the research documentation traditionally has an inclination towards administrative needs, for example to serve as a basis for research statistics. The publication databases, on the other hand, are directed towards providing access to research publications (monographs and articles) in full text. Research and publication databases can also contain attachments as full text and direct research data, as further information beyond what is included in the actual published articles or reports. A combination of these two types of systems for information about research and publication is clearly advantageous, for example making the input procedure easier, and the same data can be used in more than one field. The risk is that the dual purpose (research administration and Open Access to publications) also inflicts on, and possibly delays, the ambition to fill the institutional repositories with material. At present, it is likely that research documentation is the initial primary need, and that Open Access to full text material will come as a further service and as the next step in the development.



In Denmark there are few domain repositories, i.e. repositories specialised in a certain subject field. Dansk Matematisk Forening (Danish Mathematical Association) has a pre-print server for mathematics (<http://bib.mathematics.dk/index.php>) and Organic E-prints is a service for organic farming (<http://www.orgprints.org/>).

## Journals

A pilot study, *DEFF E-publishing*, was finalised in 2005 for the purpose of making it possible for small journal editors to stay independent and prevent them from being purchased by the major commercial players. The project tries to demonstrate solutions for the transition to electronic publishing. (<http://deffetss.cvt.dk/>).

In many cases, it concerns a scientific society as publishers and as a result of the pilot study, the Library of Copenhagen Business School publishes *Copenhagen Journal of Asian Studies* as an electronic journal with subscription through Open Journals System (OJS) - a program with open source licence, developed for e-journal publication.

The Technical Knowledge Center of Denmark publishes the journal *Mathematica Scandinavica* with free access to previous annual issues. The work is carried out in cooperation with the editorial office at Aarhus University. For this publication, the program MetaJournal is used. At present, Aarhus University is also running a project with the State and University Library about a platform for journal publishing for own journals.

Quality-controlled OA journals within all fields and in all languages are registered in *DOAJ - Directory of Open Access Journals*, which contains 2,581 journals (2007-02-20), and 127,244 articles searchable in full text. Since for the time being it is not possible to search for country of publication in *DOAJ*, those journals that are found through the search term Danish and are matches for language and/or title words, are here presented in Table 3.

Table 3 DOAJ 2007-02-20 (6 titles search term Danish)

Title	Subject	Publisher	Lang.	Start	Ulrichs	DOAJ full text
AIGIS	Lang/Lit	Greek and Latin, Cop. Univ.	Dan, Nor, Swe	2001	YES	
Anpere: Anthropol Perspect Religion	Anthropol Religion	Anpere	Dan, Eng, Nor, Swe	2006	YES	
Danish Medical Bulletin	Medicine (general)	Danish Med. Asssoc. and Danish Med. Society	Eng	2004	YES	
Res Cogitans: Journal of Philosophy	Philos	Inst. Philos, Edu, Study Religions, Univ Southern Denmark	Dan, Eng, Fre, Ger, Nor, Swe	2004	YES	
Svensk Biblioteksforskning	Libr Info Science	Swed School of Libr & Info Sci	Dan, Eng, Nor, Swe	2005	YES	YES
Tijdschrift voor Skandinavistiek	Hist Lang Lit	Tijdschrift voor Skandinavistiek	Dan, Dutch, Eng, Ger, Swe	2002	YES	

*Ulrich's Periodicals Directory* is a commercial database of all types of serial publications. Here the search includes scientific journals, published in Denmark or in Danish. In the tables 3-5 below, search criteria used are presented. Ulrich's term for scientific journal has been used throughout. Journals that furthermore have referee systems are presented separately. The search was carried out 2007-01-20.

Table 4 Number of scientific journals from Ulrich´s Periodicals Directory published in Denmark

Scientific, active journals published in Denmark (possibly jointly published with another country)					
<i>Total number</i>	Online	Online, OA	JCR	JCR, online	JCR, online, OA
<b>606</b>	227	11	82	77	1*
Not peer reviewed:					
<b>388</b>	89	6	0	0	0
Peer reviewed:					
<b>218</b>	138	5	82	77	1*

\**Acta Veterinaria Scandinavica*, pub. Danish Veterinary Association

Table 5 Number of scientific journals from Ulrich´s Periodicals Directory with material in Danish

Scientific, active journals with material in Danish (can also have material in another language)					
<i>Total number</i>	Online	Online, OA	JCR	JCR, online	JCR, online, OA
<b>410</b>	101	5	4	4	1*
Not peer reviewed:					
<b>316</b>	66	2	0	0	0
Peer reviewed:					
<b>94</b>	35	3	4	4	1*

\**Acta Veterinaria Scandinavica*, pub. Danish Veterinay Association

Table 6 Number of scientific journals from Ulrich´s Periodicals Directory published in Denmark with material in Danish

Scientific, active journals pub. in Denmark with material in Danish (can also have material in another language)					
<i>Total number</i>	Online	Online, OA	JCR	JCR, online	JCR, online, OA
<b>348</b>	76	2	4	4	1*
Not peer reviewed:					
<b>277</b>	50	1**	0	0	0
Peer reviewed:					
<b>71</b>	26	1*	4	4	1*

\**Acta Veterinaria Scandinavica*, pub. Danish Veterinary Association

\*\**Aigis*, pub. Copenhagen University, Institute of Greek and Latin

## Danish research databases

The Danish Research Database (DDF) is the central OAI compatible database for research information in Denmark, and it works as a search tool and producer of services. DDF is financed by DEFF. The research database aims for high quality and data in a standardised format. The database is also open for other search tools, such as Google Scholar. DDF/DEFF tries to economically stimulate deliveries of first class metadata to the research database and its adherent filing and publishing of full text. An amount for delivery of metadata in DDF\_MXD format is required, and after that free Open Access to half of the registered publications.

## Research databases and Open Access institutional repositories

At present, the universities are running activities concerning research databases and the establishment of local repositories. The usage of the local repositories is still under development and the usage is sporadic. Today, the main focus is placed on the research database and the OA material comes in during the next stage.

*PURE/Atira* is a system developed for research registering that is used by a group of universities. The system makes a connection to institutional repositories as DSpace, Fedora etc. possible. This quality can be seen as a clear advantage, since an integration of the systems simplifies the migration of data. Members of the PURE cooperation are for example Aarhus School of Business, University of Southern Denmark, Roskilde University, the Royal Veterinary and Agricultural University merged with the University of Copenhagen. The universities that use PURE cooperate on a joint metadata format and standard for publication types.

*Open DOAR* Directory of Open Access Repositories is run by the University in Nottingham, UK and is a record of all quality-controlled Open Access institutional repositories (<http://www.openoar.org/>). Open DOAR lists six open repositories in Denmark (2007-02-20). The number of posts stated is taken from DOAR and is not always in accordance with the present point in time.

Table 7 Number of open repositories in Denmark from DOAR

Repository	Institution	Subject field	Software	Number of posts	Type of material
Aalborg University - Electronic Library	Aalborg University	technology, social science, languages and literature		35	Dissertations
Open archive@CBS	Copenhagen Business School	business administration		900	Preprints
Organic Eprints	Research Centre for ecol. Agric.	agriculture, ecology and environment	GNU Eprints	5394	Research and conference publications
RUC digital archive	Roskilde University	interdisciplinary	DSpace	1673	Research and conference publications, dissertations
SYS-DOC	COM-DTU Tech. Univ. of Denmark	electronics, engineering, IT	CDSWare	505	Research publications and dissertations
e-WINDENG	Wind energy assessment and wind engineering	technology	GNU Eprints		publications

## Material

The Open Access institutional repositories hold for the most part material such as doctoral dissertations, reports, theses etc. At this stage it is not yet common for the researchers to deliver scientific articles as parallel publications. If you, furthermore, look at the total, the number of publications is still relatively low. Filing of student reports, theses, doctoral dissertations in digital format, is still often something that already is included in the work of the universities and libraries. In some cases, it is mandatory for the students to hand over a digital copy to the library. This has, for example, been the case at the Aarhus School of Business (from 1 January 2007 part of Aarhus University).

The Royal Veterinary and Agricultural University (from 1 January 2007 part of Copenhagen University) requests a mandatory handing over of doctoral dissertations in digital format. The digital repository of Roskilde University primarily contains student reports, but they are considering also digitally storing and publishing a major part of the publications and working papers series of their own researchers in the future.

## National and separate organisations' standpoints on free access to research information

Concerning the standpoints in the attempts to reach the researchers in Open Access questions within the individual universities, the situation at present is that the aim is to urge researchers, within existing regulations for copyright, to make their research publications available in a publication repository.

The publication type, Working papers, which is often used at business schools, is also one of the first ones that they try to issue through institutional repositories and has a long tradition, for example through the international open service Research Papers in Economics (RePEc). Likewise they try to urge the researchers into self-archiving.

During 2007, Copenhagen University Library will continue to inform the university research groups about Open Access, for example through information via a blog about Open Access. (<http://openaccess.kb.dk>).

The Technical University of Denmark has tried to develop and strengthen the researchers' own initiative to self-archiving and OA publishing through a policy and a procedure for publication, but a real implementation of the policy is still missing.

Roskilde University Centre has signed the Berlin Declaration, but there is no compulsion or position on publishing in Open Access publication forms on behalf of the university management.

DEFF E-publication committee has carried out a mapping of the present situation concerning copyright and has also tried to inform funds and research financiers about Open Access.

The Rectors' Conference will discuss Open Access during the spring of 2007 and possibly a manifestation is to be expected.

# Country report Finland

## Background

The interest in Open Access publishing in Finland started through informal channels and among the research and library community in the beginning of the 21st century. One contributing factor to the spreading of the OA interest was that there were research projects on scientific publishing and Open Access institutional repositories. The research projects SCIX ( <http://www.scix.net/sops.htm>) and OACS (<http://oacs.shh.fi/>) that were financed by the EU and the Finnish Academy, were localised to one unit, the subject Information Management at the Swedish School of Economics and Business Administration in Helsinki. Special mention should be given to Professor Bo-Christer Björk as an initiator and introducer of Open Access in Finland.

## FinnOA

An informal organ, *Finnish Open Access Working Group (FinnOA)* (<http://www.openaccess.fi>) started its work in April 2003 and has since worked as a unifying force for Open Access in Finland. Campus projects and small projects within the university college and university sector have also worked to establish local institutional repositories. Larger joint ventures have not existed where funding would have been granted centralised. Since 2006, the FinnOA group is coordinating a project, *OA-JES*, with funds from the Ministry of Education, where the aim is to support initiatives within Open Access. The aim of the project is to provide the university with support, information and coordination services, through a coordinator and an informer, as well as through the National Library for initiatives for open repositories. The project also supports the Federation of learned Societies to develop Open Access journals.

## Committee report on Open Access

One major policy initiative in Finland is the report with recommendations to transfer to Open Access, compiled by a committee appointed by the Ministry of Education. The committee finished its work in April 2005, and in the report emphasis is on the importance of having free access to research information. The report directs its recommendations to research funders, the university, research institutes and publishers. Universities and libraries are encouraged to support an infrastructure where publications and research results can be made available with Open Access.

## The Finnish Council of University Rectors signs the Berlin Declaration

Another important milestone is the fact that the Council of University Rectors for the universities in Finland signed the Berlin Declaration on free access to research information in May 2006.

Finland has an extensive net of universities and university colleges. There are 20 universities (10 are multi subject universities, 3 are technical universities, 3 are business schools and 4 are art universities). Furthermore, there are also 28 universities of applied sciences. The number of research institutes that are funded within the national budget is 20.

According to statistics from the Ministry of Education (KOTA) (<http://kotaplus.csc.fi:7777/online/Etusivu.do>) there were 24,847 scientific publications (of which 7,642 were published in the country and 17,205 abroad) during 2005. The number of referee articles is 2,100 in domestic journals and 10,739 in foreign journals in 2005.

The following is an analysis of the situation for the following areas: Open Access journal publishing, Open Access institutional repositories and national policy issues.

## Journal publishing

The publishing of scientific journals in Finland is for the most part administered by the active scientific societies within each respective research field.

In most cases, journal subscription is connected to a membership and is offered as a membership benefit, mostly in printed form. Journal publication in Finland is shown in the search below from *Ulrich's Periodicals Directory* (2007-02-20), a commercial database of all kinds of serial publications. Academic journals published in Finland, or published in Finnish or Swedish, have been searched. The search criteria used are seen in the tables 7-10 below, as well as the results. Ulrich's designation for academic journals has been used throughout. Journals with peer review systems are shown separately. The tables show that most of the journals are still published in printed form, and that the number of Open Access journals is low.

Table 8 Number of scientific journals from Ulrich's Periodicals Directory published in Finland

Scientific, active journals published in Finland (possibly in cooperation with another country)					
<i>Total number</i>	Online	Online, OA	JCR	JCR, online	JCR, online, OA
<b>453</b>	102	8	12	7	2*
Not peer reviewed:					
<b>355</b>	63	2	0	0	0
Peer reviewed:					
<b>98</b>	39	6	12	7	2*

\**Annales Academiae Scientiarum Fennicae Mathematica and Silva Fennica*

Table 9 Number of scientific journals from Ulrich's Periodicals Directory with material in Finnish

Scientific, active journals with material in Finnish (may also contain material in another language)					
<i>Total number</i>	Online	Online, OA	JCR	JCR, online	JCR, online, OA
<b>265</b>	56	1*	2	1	0
Not peer reviewed:					
<b>225</b>	45	0	0	0	0
Peer reviewed:					
<b>40</b>	11	1*	2	1	0

\**Elore*

Table 10 Number of scientific journals from Ulrich´s Periodicals Directory published in Finland with material in Finnish

Scientific, active journals published in Finland with material in Finnish (may also contain material in another language)					
<i>Total number</i>	Online	Online, OA	JCR	JCR, online	JCR, online, OA
<b>254</b>	51	1*	2	1	0
Not peer reviewed					
<b>219</b>	42	0	0	0	0
Peer reviewed					
<b>35</b>	9	1*	2	1	0

\*Elore

Table 11 Number of scientific journals from Ulrich´s Periodicals Directory published in Finland with material in Swedish

Scientific, active journals published in Finland with material in Swedish (may also contain material in another language)					
<i>Total number</i>	Online	Online, OA	JCR	JCR, online	JCR, online, OA
<b>74</b>	9	1*	2	0	0
Not peer reviewed					
<b>60</b>	6	0	0	0	0
Peer reviewed:					
<b>14</b>	3	1*	2	0	0

\*Elore

According to DOAJ, there are 6 scientific Open Access journals in Finland. Estimating the number of scientific journals is somewhat problematic and depends on how different sources define a scientific journal and a scientific journal with a peer review procedure.

Table 12 DOAJ 2007-02-20 (6 titles for search terms Finnish, Fennicae, Fennica)

Title	Subject	Publisher	Language	Start	Ulrichs
Annales Academie Scientiarum Fennicae Mathematica	Mathematics	Academia Scientiarum Fennica	Eng		YES
Elore	Anthropology	Finnish folklore Society	Eng, Fin Swe,	1995	YES
Human Technology	Media and Communication, computer science	Agora Center, University of Jyväskylä	Eng	2005	YES
Mirator	History	Univ. of Jyväskylä, Dept. of history and ethnology	Eng, Fin, Swe	2000	YES
Silva Fennica	Forestry, ecology, biology	Finnish soc. of forest science, Finnish forest research institute	Eng	1998	YES
SKY Journal of linguistics	Linguistics	The linguistic ass. of Finland	Eng, Ger, Fre	2004	YES



Scientific journal publishing depends on a central funding source, namely the government support for journals or the scientific societies that publish them. For 2007 the granted support amounted to 900,000 Euro and was assigned to 56 journals, of which 16 are published in foreign languages (not Finnish or Swedish). 8 are published electronically and 6 of these are in foreign languages.

Some of the work within the OA-JES project has been aiming to give journals the possibility to, firstly, publish an electronic version, and secondly to give free online access to it. In concrete terms, the project has meant that TSV, The scientific association delegation has administered a platform using the software Open Journals System (OJS) and through a pilot study given a small number of journals (3) the possibility to use the platform and the system for journal publishing. The solution has been presented to and accepted by editors and journals. The problem for the journals is that in order to receive government support for publishing, the journal must in general have a working economy, normally based on subscription incomes. Publishing a journal solely in electronic form might mean that the income from subscriptions decreases. It might also in other aspects be a factor of insecurity for the scientific societies that depends on their members. Having only an electronic version might diminish the number of members, since many members consider the printed journal subscription as part of the member service.

## Open Access institutional repositories

The latest trend in developing Open Access institutional repositories within the university and research sector is growing and during 2006 a transition to more purposeful projects was noticeable. The Ministry has also had a positive approach to funding applications for some university projects, and via the OA-JES project information on different solutions and appeals for joint projects within different sectors, both geographically and within a subject field, has reached the field. Open Access is no longer only a vision and a movement, but also means that concrete work is being carried out, especially within the libraries. In January 2007, Finland has 7 running OAI compatible repositories. Simultaneously, there are approximately as many repositories at a planning and project stage within the university and research sector. Work for campus solutions is also discernable, such as D-Viikki, (agro-forst, bio sciences, veterinary medicine and pharmaceuticals), Gumtåkt which is a repository that is being planned (mathematics, physics) and Terkko within medicine, all of which are part of the University of Helsinki, the largest university in Finland.

The University of Nottingham, UK, is running Open DOAR Directory of Open Access Repositories, which is a register of quality-controlled open publication depositories (<http://www.opendoar.org/>). Open DOAR lists 8 open repositories in Finland (2007-02-20), but the repository of Tampere is listed twice. The number of posts stated comes from DOAR and is not always concurrent with the present situation.

Table 13 Number of open repositories in Finland from DOAR

Repository	Department	Subject field	Software	Number of posts	Type of material
D Viikki	The University of Helsinki	Biosciences Veterinary medicine Agroforst	DSpace	309	Publications Conference articles
Electronic publications	University of Oulu	Medicine and health, Psychology Engineering	Own software	925	Postprints Dissertations
TKK Document server	University of Technology	Engineering, Physics, Mathematics, Chemistry etc.	Own software	722	Dissertations
JoyPub	University of Joensuu	Multi scientific	Own software	100	Dissertations
TaY Dissertations	University of Tampere	Multi scientific	Own software	718	Dissertations
Terkko document space	University of Helsinki	Medicine	DSpace	218	Publications Dissertations Conference articles
VTT Publications register	VTT	Engineering	Own software	200	Publications



## Software

Regarding choice of software, DSpace is a strong candidate, especially among newly established repositories and repositories that are being planned. Many of the first repositories are built on an already existing database which has been made OAI compatible through own solutions. One contributing factor to the fact that DSpace often has been chosen during the last few years could be that The National Library has evaluated existing programs and chosen to develop DSpace for its own needs and as a platform solution for those departments which do not want to work on their own installations. In February 2007, the University of Helsinki launched a new version of its E-thesis service, which is now based on the software DSpace.

## Material

When founding an institutional repository, one normally starts with material published within each unit. Doctoral dissertations are published electronically at most university colleges and universities, and they have been and are available through Open Access versions on the web via different solutions, ranging from static pages to database solutions. Therefore, it has been natural to start with these when filling the OAI compatible institutional repositories. Other theses have only limited availability in the repositories. Material in the university colleges', the universities' and the research institutions' own publication series has been relatively easy to publish electronically for several years, hence it has also been an easy material to make available via the Open Access institutional repositories. The Technical Research Centre, VTT, for example, makes its research reports available in an open OAI compatible publication repository, one of the first ones in Finland.

In one case (D-Viikki), the first campus library, the digitisation of older collections has been started. The policies of the publishers/journals regarding publishing grants for already published material have been investigated, and functioning work processes for submitting material have been developed. D-Viikki also contains a relatively large number of articles.

## Ongoing projects

2006 constituted a starting point for a more centrally organised work with Open Access, since project grants were allocated for a one year project, OA-JES, where a working group from FinnOA worked as a steering group. The project was relatively small and the funding from the Ministry of Education of 100,000 Euro was shared between four parts: information, coordination, the National Library's work with supporting joint solutions, and a platform for journal publishing. The project was granted additional funding for 2007, which means that the work within the different fields can continue. Especially when it comes to institutional repositories, the need of cooperation and coordination of for example descriptive data, version handling and copyright, is of the utmost importance; as is the connection to those research databases with bibliographic information on publications that are used for reporting and for statistics on a national level.

Remaining projects with the theme Open Access have also received funding from the Ministry of Education, e.g. a project for central campus at the University of Helsinki (the Humanities and Social sciences) and a project at the Swedish School of Economics and Business Administration (economy).

One of the current interesting projects is a joint project between different campuses within natural sciences and medicine within the University of Helsinki. The virtual university also has a project for handling teaching media.

Finland has not decided on a mandatory transition to Open Access on a national level, but instead stresses the importance of having free access to research information through recommendations on a national level. In 2005, the Ministry of Education appointed a committee with the task of working out recommendations to promote free access to scientific publications (Open Access). The recommendations were supposed to address all players in the publication process: research funders, organisations pursuing research and bodies pursuing scientific publication activities. The purpose of the recommendations is to give better access and visibility to research publications, but not to alter the basis of evaluating the level of the scientific publications. The recommendations were published in the report *Promemoria av arbetsgruppen för öppen vetenskaplig publicering* (2005:8) (Memorandum of the Working Group for Open Scientific Publishing).

In the recommendations, the universities and the university colleges, as well as the libraries, are encouraged to, either separately or together, establish Open Access institutional repositories that meet the demands for compatible metadata and the OAI-PMH standard. There is special mention of having one's own publishing as Open Access and that the researchers are recommended to publish their research in open scientific journals, when there are any on at least the same level as the subscription based journals.

Research funders (such as Finland's Academy and Tekes) are recommended to approve writer fees as a cost for research projects that are granted funds.

Scientific journals and scientific societies are recommended to have open distribution of research articles over the web. There is a wish for open publishing as soon as possible, but there is also an understanding of the fact that there might be some reasons for delaying the open version, because of member fee incomes and subscriptions. The journals are also recommended to let authors make a copy of their article accessible through the open publication repository.

The Council of University Rectors in Finland decided to sign the Berlin Declaration at the meeting on 23 May 2006.

# Country report Iceland

## Background

Iceland goes in for information technology, as a part of the transition to an information society. The Icelandic government has released a policy document for Iceland as an information society, called *Resources to serve everyone - Policy of the government of Iceland 2004-2007* ([http://eng.forsaetisraduneyti.is/media/English/IT\\_Policy2004.pdf](http://eng.forsaetisraduneyti.is/media/English/IT_Policy2004.pdf)).

The document contains the general outlines for how Iceland shall develop into an information society, and also contains a general strategy about the development of information technology for the whole population, industry, education system, research field and cultural area. The document is a continuation of previous visions about an information society from 1996. The aim for education and research includes, among other things, the coordination of databases with scientific information within the different scientific fields, and that these are made available both to researchers and the public. In a wider perspective, the aim is also to expand the usage of research results which could lead to innovations, for example, within business life.

In a manifestation from The Science and Technology Policy Council, Prime Minister's Office, published in February 2004, (<http://bella.mrn.stjr.is/utgafur/visindaensk.pdf>), the government will, in line with this, support:

- Free access to research results funded with governmental means
- Free public access to databases and other scientific information

The above stated especially concerns the funding of research about nature and environment in Iceland, the exploitation of natural reserves as well as health and welfare. A working party has been appointed in order to prepare the legislation in line with the most available form of free access for this type of research information.

## Scientific journals

Table 14 Number of scientific journals from Ulrich's Periodicals Directory, published in Iceland

Scientific, active journals published in Iceland (possibly co-published with another country)					
<i>Total number</i>	Online	Online, OA	JCR	JCR, online	JCR, online, OA
<b>45</b>	10	2	2	1	0
Not peer reviewed:					
<b>29</b>	7	1	0	0	0
Peer reviewed:					
<b>16</b>	3	1*	2	1	0

\**Nordicum Mediterraneum* pub. Haskolinn a Akureyri

Table 15 Number of scientific journals from Ulrich´s Periodicals Directory, with material in Icelandic

Scientific, active journals with material in Icelandic (can also have material in another language)					
<i>Total number</i>	Online	Online, OA	JCR	JCR, online	JCR, online, OA
<b>36</b>	7	0	0	0	0
Nor peer reviewed:					
<b>26</b>	6	0	0	0	0
Peer reviewed:					
<b>10</b>	1	0	0	0	0

Table 16 Number of scientific journals from Ulrich´s Periodicals Directory, published in Iceland with material in Icelandic

Scientific, active journals published in Iceland with material in Icelandic (can also have material in another language)					
<i>Total number</i>	Online	Online, OA	JCR	JCR, online	JCR, online, OA
<b>32</b>	6	0	0	0	0
Not peer reviewed:					
<b>22</b>	5	0	0	0	0
Peer reviewed:					
<b>10</b>	1	0	0	0	0

According to DOAJ, there are not any recorded scientific Open Access journals in Iceland or in Icelandic.

## Open Access institutional repositories

At present, there is an open research repository in Iceland, Landspítali University Hospital research archive, within medicine. The repository contains 549 publications within various medical fields, both in Icelandic and in English. The repository uses DSpace software, and is among the listed Open Access institutional repositories in the DOAR or ROAR records.

# Country report Norway

## Background

In Norway, the development of Open Access has also mostly been carried out by the university libraries, which have taken different initiatives to further access to research information. The first of the six Norwegian universities to build open repositories were Oslo, Bergen and Trondheim.

- The university in Oslo has developed its own system, DUO, through cooperation between the university IT section and the university library. The project started in 1997, but accelerated only at the beginning of this decade. The political science department and the medical faculty were the first ones to start using the system (<http://www.duo.uio.no/>)
- The university in Bergen chose the open software DSpace for BORA - *Bergen Open Research Archive*. The service was launched in November 2003, but the work started already in 2003. BORA is a further development of *Støttetjenesten for elektronisk publisering (STEP)* (Support service for electronic publishing), which was started in 2001. Material from STEP, mainly ETD is now available in BORA (<http://bora.uib.no/index.jsp>)
- The Norwegian University of Science and Technology (NTNU) in Trondheim chose the DiVA system, developed at Uppsala University. See Open Access in Sweden (<http://www.ub.ntnu.no/prosjekt/dravh/>)

The Norwegian institutes of higher education established a cooperation group for the development of Open Access. The main purpose was to create a national search service, to use standardised metadata and to create an OAI harvester for all the material in the open repositories of the departments.

During the autumn of 2004, the authorities and organisations started to take an interest in Open Access . The Norwegian association of higher Education Institutions (*Universitets- och högskolerådet, UHR*), that represents all universities and university colleges in Norway, in January 2005 published the document on Open Access to scientific articles *Åpen tilgang til vitenskapelige artikler*. The problems with the publication system and possible measures are described in this document. UHR wants to cooperate with central authorities in order to solve the economic questions. The document was distributed to all member organisations that, among other things, were recommended the following:

- To run an active information campaign in support of Open Access
- To find joint solutions together with publishers of OA journals for the payment of author fees. On ad hoc basis fund separate articles in OA journals
- To urge for publication in OA journals with peer review
- To establish/develop open repositories that gives a comprehensive overview of the department's research
- To adopt general principles that recommend authors to parallel-publish in the institutional repository
- To contribute to the cooperation between the institutional repositories and the system for research documentation FRIDA/ForsDok to simplify the reporting of the researchers
- To contribute to getting educational material and dissertations included in the departmental institutional repositories

In the Norwegian research proposition *Stortingsmelding nr 20 för 2004/2005 "Vilje til forskning"* (The Will to Research), the government's new research strategy is described. The importance of a better access to research information is emphasised, and the fact that the establishment of Open Access institutional repositories contribute to an increased spreading and usage of research results is seen as a positive result. The education and research department is commissioned to analyse the possibilities of further strengthening the electronic dissemination of results from publicly funded research, this as a part of a coming action plan to modernise the public services.

## Initiatives

After UHR's letter in January 2005, the development has been rapid. The same year, the university colleges and BIBSYS took the initiative to a joint solution to establish repositories at the institutions that took part in BIBSYS. In January 2006, the PEPIA project started with planned operational start in November the same year. Budget: 800,000 NOK of which 400,000 from ABM (archives, libraries, museums) development. BIBSYS was put in charge of the project management and the realisation in cooperation with the consortium.

*PEPIA - Prosjekt for elektronisk publisering og institusjonelle arkiv* (Project for electronic publishing and institutional repositories).

Through joint systems and standards the aim was to obtain more effective and economic publishing, increase the quality of publishing and make publishing practice and research results visible.

1. Establish a joint system to support processes and activities concerning electronic publishing in the institutions
2. Establish departmental repositories to store find and convey e-resources written by the students and employees of the institutions
3. Establish support systems for authors: templates, guidelines and other facilities
4. Establish communication with other suitable systems like NORA and the research databases ForskDok and FRIDA
5. Contribute to a uniform registration of bibliographic data

The joint system for electronic publishing was called *BIBSYS Brage*. The consortium today includes 31 libraries, mostly college libraries.

*Nasjonalt kunnskapssenter for helsetjenesten* (National Knowledge Centre for Health Services) (est. January 2004) signed a membership agreement in October 2004 with BioMedCentral, which meant that Norwegian researchers with public funding could publish in BioMedCentral's peer reviewed journals, at that time amounting to 120, without paying the author fee.

Since 2006, the Knowledge Centre has run *The Norwegian Health Library*, which the same year, together with the university libraries in Oslo, Bergen, Trondheim, Tromsø and some university colleges, financed the membership agreement with BioMedCentral (now over 170 journals). During the spring of 2007, the Health Library is expected to decide if it is to offer an open publication repository for the whole health sector.

## NORA - Norwegian Open Research Archives

*NORA* is a national service which facilitates the search for research material in the Open Access institutional repositories. The project started in April 2005, and it is a cooperation between universities and university colleges in Norway. *NORA* is funded by *Norsk Digitalt Bibliotek at ABM Utvikling* (Norwegian Digital Library at ABM development) - Norwegian Archive, Library and Museum Authority.

The project group includes the university libraries in Oslo, Bergen, Tromsø and Trondheim, as well as the university college libraries in Agder, Hedmark, Bodö and Telemark and the Norwegian Business School. BIBSYS and the Norwegian National Library were added in 2007. The search



system has been developed and is run by Oslo University's IT department, USIT. The user interface has been produced by a professional designer at NTNU in Trondheim.

### Joint metadata model

The basis is Dublin Core metadata. Eleven of the fifteen elements in DC have been chosen by NORA as the most essential for a correct bibliographic description of scientific documents, and many of them are therefore mandatory to register. Examples of standardised elements are language (ISO 639-3), date (MMDDYYYY), personal name, name of publisher and subject category.

### Joint subject classification

NORA chose the Norwegian classification of research disciplines (*Norsk Inndeling av Vitenskapsdisipliner*) which covers all subjects and has three levels. The system is Norwegian, but is based on an OECD standard used by UNESCO and the EU's statistics office EUROSTAT. The subject classification is used in many contexts within the Education and Research Council, but mostly for statistic purposes by the Norwegian Association of Higher Education Institutions, *Universitets og høyskolerådet (UHR)* by *FRIDA* - Norway's national research documentation system and all open repositories that are part of NORA. An important principle is that the subject classification shall follow the international standard in order to both make international comparisons possible and suite Norwegian conditions at the same time.

By using a joint subject classification, specific subject term searches can be made through overall participating repositories, a very important condition. The subject categories can be viewed at: ([http://gammel.uhr.no/utvalg/forskning/dokumenter/forskdokNorskvitdisinnst.htm#\\_Toc50789794](http://gammel.uhr.no/utvalg/forskning/dokumenter/forskdokNorskvitdisinnst.htm#_Toc50789794)).

### Search system

It was considered of great importance to have a good search system in place as early as possible, since this is what the users are most interested in. The first version was ready in June 2005, 2½ months after the start, and the advanced search function was launched in September 2005. The choice fell on a more Google-like approach instead of a traditional bibliographic search system.

NORA can also be searched through other portals, such as Http- search, SRU/SRW (will be developed). In BIBSYS Mime, NORA can be co-searched with *Scirus*, *PubMed*, *NORART* (Norwegian journal articles) and BIBSYS - the National Library catalogue.

Comment: SRU - Search-Retrieve by URL is a web based search system developed under supervision by Library of Congress as a successor to the Z39.50 protocol.

### Local development work

All Norwegian open archives with scientific information are welcome to take part in NORA. It requires local development work financed by each participant. Participating archives have to fulfil three fundamental demands: they must be OAI-PMH compatible (*Open Archives Initiative - Protocol for Metadata Harvesting*), deliver data according to NORA's metadata standard and classification system, and have objects in full text or in other formats. All new repositories are harvested by NORA (<http://www.ub.uio.no/nora/>).

### Documents from small institutions

Small institutions do not have the resources to establish their own local repositories. NORA has therefore developed an OAI-PMH editor, which generates XML files to NORA. Full text versions can be published on an institutional website.

One of NORA's important roles is advocating Open Access. *NORA Open Access Window* is planned to become the national website for scientific communication in Norway for students, researchers, librarians and decision makers - a complement to ScieCom in Sweden and the international SHERPA/RoMEO list ([www.openaccess.no](http://www.openaccess.no)). *The NORA* project has brought about an increased focus on the need for open archives with scientific information.

## Research documentation systems

1. *ForskDok* was for a long time the only joint research documentation system in Norway. The system was used for delivering statistics to the ministry, making FoU catalogues and internal budget work. FRIDA appeared in 2003, as a cooperation project between the universities.

UHR's report '*Vekt på forskning - nytt system for dokumentasjon av vitenskapelig publisering*' (Emphasis on research - new system for documentation of scientific publishing) (2004) describes the criteria for scientific publishing, as well as how different publication forms should be weighted and how a technical solution could be developed to meet these demands (<http://gammel.uhr.no/utvalg/forskning/vitenskapeligpublisering/DokumentasjonavvitpublSluttrapport121104.htm>).

ForskDok has been developed by BIBSYS starting in 1994. In 2005 a new version came out with an adaptation to the new departmental criteria, among which was the introduction of the ITAR system. ForskDok is run by BIBSYS which uses an allocation key to charge participating university colleges for the management of the system. Earlier, ForskDok was a part of the BIBSYS fee, but since the universities now use FRIDA, they did not want to pay for a system they did not use.

The technical solution that supports import of scientific publication data is called *Importtjeneste og Autoritetsregistre (Import service and Authority record) (ITAR)*. ITAR is both an authority record for scientific publication channels, publication types and institutions and a service which imports scientific publication data from the data providers, *ISI*, *NorArt* and *BIBSYS*. These data are available to all registrants in ForskDok or FRIDA. *Norwegian Social Science Data Services - NSD* is responsible for the running and updating of the authority record (<http://dbh.nsd.uib.no/>).

### 2. FRIDA - Forskningsresultater, informasjon og dokumentasjon av vitenskapelige aktiviteter

FRIDA is a national system for research documentation. The University of Oslo found that ForskDok did not meet their needs and started to develop their own system at the end of the 1990s. The universities in Bergen, Tromsø and Trondheim (NTNU) joined in. The management of FRIDA for the four universities is taken care of by USIT IT department at the University of Oslo, but others can buy their way into it.

FRIDA shall deliver quality assessed research data to *Kunnskapsdepartementet* (before 2006 it was called the education and research department) as well as cover the universities' need for documentation to generate reports. The system has two searchable modules, one for research results and one for competence catalogues. FRIDA shall also work as a portal for general information about research activities. A project module is under development.

It is mandatory for all university employees to register their scientific productions in FRIDA, while registering in ForskDok is mandatory for employees at the university colleges. *Kunnskapsdepartementet* decides the end date for registering publication data through FRIDA. The system also contains a module for annual reports.

FRIDA is integrated with the universities' local repositories. The researchers should only need to use one system, and this can be solved if the local repositories receive journal articles through the mandatory registration system. 2006-12-01, an automatic transmission of metadata and full text from FRIDA to the local repositories in Bergen, Oslo, Trondheim and Tromsø was initiated.

## National policies

At present, only the university in Oslo has decided on mandatory deposition in an open repository. From 2007, all students within medicine and law at Oslo University must deliver their theses electronically.

The Ministry of Education and Research has decided that registration of research publications in FRIDA is mandatory for all university employees. Employees at the university colleges, must register in ForskDok.

NORA has applied for permanent funding of NORA at *Kunnskapsdepartementet*.



## Open repositories

Today, Norway has ten well established open repositories with nearly 10,000 full text documents. Five out of six universities have Open Access institutional repositories; moreover there are three university colleges and two research institutes:

- Agder University College (39) part of *BIBSYS Brage*
- Norges Geologiske Undersøkelse - NGU (960)
- Hedmark University College (205) part of *BIBSYS Brage*
- Norwegian Defence Research Establishment (506)
- Norwegian School of Economics and Business Administration (BORA) (1377)
- The Norwegian University of Science and Technology - NTNU (DIVA) (820) *DOAR, ROAR*
- Norwegian University of Life Sciences – UMB (81)
- University of Bergen (BORA) (1320) *DOAR, ROAR*
- University of Oslo (DUO) (3980) *DOAR, ROAR*
- University of Tromsø (MUNIN) (492) *DOAR, ROAR*

*DOAR - Directory of Open Access Repositories lists quality assessed repositories. ROAR - Registry of Open Access Archives lists open repositories.*

If you count all repositories, even those that are a part of the *BIBSYS Brage* consortium, Norway formally has 39 OAI compatible repositories. However, several of the institutional repositories in this consortium have just started and therefore do not have much content yet. With the help of the OAI editor, another 2 repositories are on their way. A lot is predicted to happen during 2007.

## Norwegian open repositories grouped according to software/system

### *DSpace*

- Bergen
- Tromsø
- BIBSYS Brage consortium (31 libraries)

### *DiVA-system*

- Trondheim

### *Local system*

- Oslo

### *Open Repository*

- Health Library

### *Non OAI-PMH compatible system*

- Two research institutes

The choice of different technical systems does not matter as long as the systems are OAI-PMH compatible. The systems that are not OAI-PMH compatible download the records from their local databases and export their metadata in a standardised way.

The open repositories focus on different types of material:

Student theses in Oslo, doctoral dissertations in Trondheim, journal articles in Bergen, departmental research reports (Norges Geologiske Undersøkelse och Forsvarets forskningsinstitutt). In Oslo they have, for example, chosen to progress step by step and have started by prioritising the type of documents that have been mostly requested by universities. In that way, they have obtained a large number of student theses. In March 2007, they will concentrate the marketing on obtaining doctoral dissertations and in the autumn on articles.

Experiences from DUO Digitale Utgivelser ved Universitet i Oslo (Digital publication at the University of Oslo). Student theses

The project managed to involve all faculties and all departments in the transition to electronic publishing of student theses. Today, DUO has 4,150 full text documents, of which around 3,500 are theses.

Each year, 2,250 students shall deliver their theses within their educations for medicine and law, as well as theses corresponding to licentiate and master level. In 2005, 1,108 theses were delivered, i.e. 50 %. From 2007, the university in Oslo has introduced a mandatory deposit of theses to DUO as stated above.

Full text documents in DUO are of great use. Between March 2004 and January 2007, full text documents have been downloaded 226,372 times. DUO now has 15,000 downloads per month. DUO uses FRIDA to catch full text articles; they are two completely different systems with different functions.

## OA journals

As in Sweden, there are around ten scientific OA journals in Norway. Below are presentations from two different systems.

Quality assessed Open Access journals within all fields and in all languages are registered in *DOAJ Directory of Open Access Journals*, which contains 2,545 journals (2007-01-27) and 125,591 articles, searchable in full text. Since it is not, at present, possible to search for country of publication in *DOAJ*, the journals presented have been found using the search term Norwegian, with matches on language and /or title words. A journal from another source has been added.

Table 17 DOAJ 2007-02-02

Title	Subject	Publisher	Lang.	Start	Ulrichs	DOAJ full text	JCR
Acta Orthopaedica*	Surgery	Taylor & Francis	Eng	2005	YES		
ALGIS	Lang/Lit	Greek and Latin, Cop. Univ	Dan, Nor, Swe	2001	YES		
Anpere: Anthropol Persp on Religion	Anthropology Religion	Anpere	Dan, Eng, Nor, Swe	2006	YES		
Dictum, The Critical View	Soc Sci	Dictum	Eng, Nor	2005	YES		
Nordlit. Arbeidstids i litteratur	Lang, Lit	Univ Tromsø, Humfak	Eng, Nor	1997	YES		
Nordlyd	Linguistics	Univ Tromsø Dept Linguistics	Eng, Nor	2003	YES	YES	
Norwegian Journal of Geology	Earth Sci	Nor. Geol. Ass.	Eng	2003	YES		YES
Res. Cogitans: Journal of Philosophy	Philos	Inst Philos, Edu, Study Religions, Univ Southern Denmark	Dan, Eng, Fre, Ger, Nor, Swe	2004	YES		
Svensk Biblioteksforskning	Libr Info Science	Swed School of Libr & Info Sci	Dan, Eng, Nor, Swe	2005	YES	YES	
Tidsskrift for Den norske lægeforening	Medicine, general	Den norske lægefor, Oslo	Nor	2000	YES		
Tijdschrift voor Skandinavistiek	Hist Lang Lit	Tijdschrift voor Skandinavistiek	Dan, Dutch, Eng, Ger, Nor, Swe	2002	YES		

\*Publ country also Norway

*Ulrich's Periodicals Directory* is a commercial database on all types of serial publications. Below are the results of a search for scientific journals, published in Norway or in Norwegian. The search terms used are listed in tables 18-20 below. Ulrich's term for scientific journal has been used consistently. Journals with peer review are presented separately. The search was carried out 2007-02-02.

Table 18 Number of scientific journals from Ulrich´s Periodicals Directory, published in Norway

Scientific, active journals published in Norway (poss. co-published with another country)					
<i>Total number</i>	Online	Online and OA	JCR	JCR and online	JCR, online, OA
383	173	10	32	30	2
Not peer reviewed					
282	115	4	3	3	0
Peer reviewed					
101	58	6	29	27	2*

\* Acta Orthopaedica and Norsk Geologisk Tidsskrift

Table 19 Number of scientific journals from Ulrich´s Periodicals Directory with material in Norwegian

Scientific, active journals with material in Norwegian (can also have material in another language)					
<i>Total number</i>	Online	Online and OA	JCR	JCR and online	JCR, online, OA
391	100	6	2	1	0
Not peer reviewed					
329	80	4	0	0	0
Peer reviewed					
62	20	2	2	1	0

Table 20 Number of scientific journals from Ulrich´s Periodicals Directory published in Norway and with material in Norwegian

Scientific, active journals pub. in Norway and with material in Norwegian					
<i>Total number</i>	Online	Online and OA	JCR	JCR and online	JCR, online, OA
237	83	2*	2**	1***	0
Not peer reviewed					
197	70	2	0	0	0
Peer reviewed					
40	13	0	2**	1***	0

\*Dictum and Tidsskrift for Norsk Laegeforening \*\* Internasjonal Politikk, Tidsskrift for Samfunnsforskning \*\*\*Tidsskrift for Samfunnsforskning

## Open Access publishing

The university in Oslo will publish an Open Access journal called *Acta Didacta* using the Open Journal System (OJS). The first issue is due this year. It will be run and financed by The Department of Teacher Education and School Development.

## Quality

NORA requires high quality metadata and has therefore developed its own OAI-PMH Harvester, which each week harvests and validates metadata to ensure the quality of the local repositories. Data differing from the metadata standard are normalised directly or otherwise the repository is contacted with suggestions for corrections. Data coming in from many different sources can then be presented uniformly to the user.

In FRIDA, it is the departmental prefects that have the overall responsibility for the content. Since the registrations constitute the basis for funding from the ministry, the quality assessment of the registered data is very important.

## Copyright

*Nora Open Access Window* gives information about the publishers' policies for self- archiving and refers to the SHERPA/RoMEO list. NORA also includes a model agreement for publishing at a publisher.

It is the libraries' task to produce instructions, guidelines and templates for agreements about self-archiving.

When registering in FRIDA, the researchers need to sign an electronic contract with their local repository. In this contract, the researcher gives the repository a non-exclusive license to make the researcher's article available in full text.

# Country report Sweden

## Background

The work supporting free access to research publications - Open Access - has been in progress for several years in Sweden. During the autumn of 1996, Stevan Harnad introduced the concept to the library community at a BIBSAM conference on the theme 'Forskarna, nätverkspubliseringen och biblioteken' (The researchers, network publishing and the libraries) (Hagerlid 2006).

There have been several motivating factors as the major publishers' annual two-figure price increase, the copyright issues that follow from electronic publishing licence agreements replacing downright purchases, the package selling tactic 'Big Deals' as well as new technical possibilities for self-archiving. Those who first observed the problem - the university libraries and the National Library of Sweden/BIBSAM - have been working, both individually and in co-operation, to find new ways of publishing at the institutions of higher education. The publishing accelerated during the first years of this decade and was considered to be a natural task for the U/UC (University and University College) libraries.

The involvement of the research community and the administrators has experienced a slower growth. A number of international and national initiatives as well as the considerable information input both from the university libraries and by individual researchers have now started to result in a realisation of the favourable consequences that a wider dissemination and increased visibility leads to in terms of greater impact. Examples of information efforts are the conference series Nordic Conference on *Scholarly Communication* and also *ScieCom - Swedish Resource Centre for Scientific Communication*.

In 2003, with the *Letter of Appropriation*, the Ministry of Education and Research, for the first time demanded that the institutions of Higher Education accounted for their research publishing output. This demand became an important incentive to create complete publication databases at the universities. Several Swedish universities, for example Uppsala, Stockholm, Gothenburg and Växjö, have chancellor decisions on mandatory registration of all academic work, whereas at other universities, for example Lund University, the faculties/disciplines have taken such decisions. Full text access is a logic complement to registering.

From the *Letter of Appropriation*, 2003:

"Universities and University colleges shall, in connection with the annual report every fourth year account for their publications in internationally acknowledged journals or other publication forms using a peer review system. In the annual report an account will be given per scholarly field and include publications from 2003, if possible with comparing data for 2001 and 2002."

Uppsala University introduced mandatory registration for the medical and pharmaceutical faculties as early as in 1995, when it was decided that part of the faculty grants should be based on scientific publications.

Registration became mandatory for the rest of the faculties in Uppsala when the large BASTU evaluation required all publications between 1995 and 1999 to be registered in the system prior to the present OPUS (below). This was realised in 2000.

## Initiatives

**1992** *Project Runeberg* was launched at Linköping University in order to give free online access to classical Nordic works. The project belongs to the computer society LYSATOR at Linköping University and is run by hundreds of volunteers (<http://runeberg.org/>).

**1996/1997** The government bill *Forskning och samhälle (Research and Community)* demanded that authorities carrying out publicly funded research should give free online access to their research information from 1998 onwards. The Swedish National Agency for Higher Education was appointed to lead "*SAFARI - Spridning av forskningsinformation till allmänheten över Internet*" (*Dissemination of research information to the public over the Internet*).

Two part projects:

1. A national searchable catalogue on the Internet containing popularised research information in Swedish for the general public
2. A national searchable system for research information for professional users with detailed information on projects, publications, researcher qualifications etc.

The results were not realized as desired. Material was not submitted as the project lacked both stick and carrot. The plans were revived in the general project [www.forskning.se](http://www.forskning.se), which is owned and developed by ten public authorities and foundations funding research, and in the research focused *SSN - Sweden Science Net*, a co-operation between 6 Swedish universities aiming to develop a national system for research information within the life sciences.

**1996** *LiU E-Press - Linköping University Electronic Press* was established in order to meet the university's need for electronic online publishing. In 2004 LiU E-Press became an independent non-commercial unit with its own board and was connected to the university library. Please see below under *OA journals* ([www.ep.liu.se](http://www.ep.liu.se)).

**1997** Lund University became a Swedish pioneer in electronic posting of doctoral dissertations. Preliminary thesis title page, bibliographic data and abstract were presented in Lund University Dissertations (<http://theses.lub.lu.se/postgrad/>). Aided by legal expertise agreements were made between publishers and Lund University on general permits for republishing in composite dissertations (<http://theses.lub.lu.se/postgrad/publish/scripta/>).

**1997** *LUFT - Electronic publishing at Lund University in full text*, was funded by BIBSAM. The background was the university's vision in its IT-strategy for 1998-2000:

"The university shall develop models for electronic publishing of information/.../overall purpose is to promote the transition from paper-based to electronic publishing/.../. The vision is that all electronic documents the university produces or in other ways utilises should easily be searchable, found, read and printed from the computer networks."

However the university management was not yet ready to decide on recommendations or guidelines for electronic publishing.

**1997** The Blekinge Institute of Technology (BTH) launched the project *DELFIN - Direkt Elektronisk Lagring av ForskningsInformation* (direct electronic storage of research information). On the library's initiative, an interim research editing council was appointed to make publishing, dissemination and storing of BTH's research documents more efficient, and the vision was access to full text. Blekinge Forskningsstiftelse (research foundation) and BIBSAM together contributed with in all 650,000 SEK.

**1998** The report *Elektronisk fulltextpublicering - en projektrapport om publikationer utgivna av Handelshögskolan vid Göteborgs universitet* (Electronic full text publishing – a project report



about publications issued by Göteborg Business School) proposes that the School of Business, Economics and Law establishes an independent centre for electronic publishing in co-operation with the Economics Library and the School of Business, Economics and Law. The project ran from 1998 to 2000 and was after that immediately put into regular operation.

**1998** The Vice-Chancellor at Uppsala University requested a report on the university's scientific online publishing. In 2000, the report proposed that a unit for digital publishing be appointed at the university library. *Enheten för digital publicering* (the unit for digital publication) was created the same year and was commissioned to create work flows and technical solutions for electronic publishing of the summary of the dissertations - *DiVA – Digitala Vetenskapliga Arkivet* (Academic Archive On-line) had been born.

**1999** The *SLU - Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences* started EPSILON as a project to develop models for electronic publishing of SLU publications. From 2003 all doctoral dissertations and licentiate's dissertations are published here. From 2003 there is also an equivalent system for theses.

In February **2002** Lund University Libraries signed a membership in *BioMed Central*, thus becoming the first European university to try this type of funding for publications from an institute of Higher Education. The response from the medical faculty was very positive.

In **2002** *LU-research* - the database for research publications – was running regularly at Lund University (<http://lu-research.lub.lu.se/information.html>). Together with the medical faculty's Information Committee, an interface was created for the medical faculty's research publications - *Lund Virtual Medical Journal*, with its own editing board that, among other things, chooses the article of the month for a more detailed presentation (<http://lvmj.medfak.lu.se/>).

**2002** a working group at Lund University, with representatives from the Legal Division, the Faculty of Law and Lund University Libraries, Head Office, proposed model licences for the university, on the one hand a *Licence to Publish* between the author and the publisher, and on the other hand an agreement between the author and Lund University for publishing in *LU-research*. For further information see under Copyright below.

**2002** *NCSC - First Nordic Conference on Scholarly Communication* was arranged by Lund University Library in co-operation with Danish library organisations. The target group was all stakeholders within the field of scientific communication. The conference now takes place every second year in Lund, alternating with *CERN's* OAI-conferences.

**2002** in discussions at the first NCSC, it became apparent that there was a great need for a database on quality controlled Open Access journals. The following year, DOAJ - Directory of Open Access Journals was launched at Lund University, primarily funded by OSI - Open Society Institute. DOAJ has become the internationally established record of quality-controlled, freely available journals within all subject fields and in all languages.

**2002** *ScieCom - Swedish Resource Centre for Scientific Communication* was funded by BIBSAM and Lund University (<http://www.sciecom.org/>). ScieCom has been supplying a large amount of information by way of its substantial website, a series of seminars and workshops and its online journal ScieCom info with invited articles in English on different aspects of scientific communication.

In **2003** the two-year project *SVEP - Co-ordination of Electronic Publishing within Swedish Higher Education* began. The project was carried out in close co-operation between the National Library of Sweden and the university libraries of Uppsala and Lund plus another seven institutions of higher education. The project was financed by the BIBSAM Department of the National Library. The most significant results were recommendations about joint metadata standards for e-publications and for publication databases including standardized subject categories, models and tools for a generalized



archiving workflow between a local repository and the National Library and the establishment of a national search service for student theses based on the OAI Protocol for Metadata Harvesting. The project also provided start-up support for all Higher Education institutions in creating digital repositories and held a number of promotional seminars.

In **2006** the development programme *OpenAccess.se* began with the strategic goal to promote maximum accessibility and visibility of works produced by researchers, teachers and students at Swedish universities and university colleges. The programme is led by a steering Committee, consisting of representatives of the Swedish Association of Higher Education, the Royal Swedish Academy of the Sciences, the Swedish Research Council, and a number of university libraries and departments of the National Library. It is co-ordinated and financed by the Department for National Co-operation of the National Library of Sweden with individual projects in some cases co-financed by the Swedish Research Council. The programme supports a number of projects within these focus areas:

- Co-ordination and development of standards and tools for electronic publishing
- Growth of the volume and diversity of material in academic repositories
- Access to and use of content in academic repositories and Open Access journals
- Long-term access to digital publications and other material in academic repositories
- Quality standards for content and services in academic repositories
- Publishing in Open Access journals and the migration of Swedish scientific journals to an Open Access model.

The programme also organizes seminars and conferences. It will run for four years, 2006 – 2009. ([www.openaccess.se](http://www.openaccess.se)).

## National policies

**2003** The *Committee of Inquiry* was appointed by *SUHF -The Association of Swedish Higher Education* to analyse strategic issues for the future of higher education libraries. The Committee of Inquiry presented its final report *Vägar för kunskap - behov av en gemensam strategisk nyorientering för högskolorna och deras bibliotek* (Roads to knowledge - needs for a joint strategic new orientation for the higher education institutions and their libraries. <http://www.suhf.se> > Publicerat > Rapporter > Biblioteksrapporten).

The report recommended SUHF:

"To draw the attention of SUHF members to the need of changes in the current system for publishing academic writings and the need to establish economic prerequisites for creating professional, publishing services within the universities and university colleges".

The General Assembly supported the recommendations of the report and commissioned the Board to appoint relevant working group. A working group for scientific information provision was appointed consisting of representatives from university managements, student unions, university libraries and the national library. The working group focused on issues relating to academic electronic publishing and Open Access:

- New publishing agreements that ensure that researchers can make their publications available in their institutional repositories
- Policies at universities and university colleges with respect to Open Access
- Analysis of the existing systems for evaluation and reviewing in relation to publishing
- Standard format for publication databases.

**2004-10-21** Based on the working group's results, the General Assembly of SUHF decided to assign the SUHF Board to sign the *Berlin Declaration*. This happened and the SUHF Board consequently in June 2005 recommended that all members:

- Introduce a policy that strongly recommends their researchers to deposit a copy of all their published articles in an Open Access digital repository
- Encourage researchers to publish their research articles in Open Access journals where a suitable journal exists and provide the support to enable that to happen.

A spin-off effect of the working group's activities was the appreciation of the importance of a close cooperation between the managers of the university and of the university libraries. As a result *Forum för bibliotekschefer* (Forum for library directors) was created in 2006 within SUHF. Forum has established a number of working groups dealing with specific issues, such as institutional electronic publishing, joint licensing of digital information resources, bibliometrics and research evaluation. Lund University was the first institute of higher education to follow SUHF's recommendations.

**2005-11-14** Forum approved its own OA policy with the motive that "free accessibility for publications leads to increased usage and impact for research. This leads to increased visibility and impact for the researchers at Lund University." To maximise the number of Open Access available publications, the management decides that:

- Researchers at Lund University, if possible, should publish in journals that are freely available to the reader
- If a freely available journal is not available as an equal option, a journal that allows for parallel publishing of the article should primarily be chosen
- Transferring copyright grants should be avoided. The minimum requirement is the right to parallel publishing
- Lund University supports the transition of scientific journals to a publishing model, where articles are made freely available to the reader directly or through parallel publishing.

**2005-05-17** VR - *The Swedish Research Council* signs the Berlin Declaration:

"Results from government funded research should be available to everyone, not only to those who can afford to pay" (Pär Omling, Director General, VR).

"A basic principle within research is the free exchange of information and a maximal dissemination of results./.../The signatories take upon themselves to, amongst other things/.../develop methods for quality assessment of online publishing and that Open Access publishing will give credit in the research evaluation process" (From press release 2005-05-17).

It is important for VR that the publication process is speeded up, and that the researchers' rights to their own work are guaranteed. VR is now discussing an action plan on how to implement an Open Access policy that can be combined with high quality requirements.

**2005-05-19** *The Swedish Library Association* signs the Berlin Declaration.

**2006-01-18** *The National Library of Sweden* signs the Berlin Declaration.

**2006-06-29** Stockholm's University: *Policy concerning the handling of Open Access publishing*. The Vice-Chancellor decides:

- That, from 2007, the prefect/corresponding at the departments is responsible for bibliographic data about the teachers' and researchers' publications continuously being made available in the university's publication database
- That the reporting should include all publications written by teachers and researchers as employees at the university. This includes all academic publishing as well as publications within the scope of cooperative projects (e.g. popular science publications, articles in the daily press)
- That researchers, as far as possible, submit a copy of each published article to the university's digital repository.

**2007-01-08** The Vice-Chancellor at Göteborg University decides:

- That all academic work published from 2004 and on, shall be registered in the publication database GUP, in accordance with the regulations
- That the registrations should be continuous and coincide with publishing.

## Open Access institutional repositories

### Mapping

Within the *SVEP* project a survey of scientific e-publishing at Swedish institutions of higher education was carried out. Some comparative data were already available (2002). Current data were produced through a questionnaire put to 38 institutes of higher education. 26 answered.

Some results per March 2005:

- 19 OAI compatible repositories against the previous 8
- 6 publish doctoral dissertations, 4 U/UC publish articles and only 2 of them have over 500
- The majority lacks a clear policy and a specific budget for the field
- 8 of them had a budget and 2 were funded by project funds. 2 (Uppsala and Umeå) are self-funded, i.e. parts of the activities are charged with fees and give cost coverage
- Few staff resources have in general been appointed for e-publishing. For those higher educational institutions that e-publish scientifically material, the average was on 80 % of a Full Time Equivalent (FTE), the median being 25 %.

(Holmqvist 2005)

## Open DOAR Directory of Open Access Repositories

*Open DOAR* is run by the university in Nottingham, UK and is a record of quality-assessed Open Access repositories (<http://www.opendoar.org/>). Open DOAR lists 30 Open Access repositories in Sweden (2007-01-30).

## ROAR - Registry of Open Access Repositories

ROAR is run by the University of Southampton, UK. ROAR has two functions - to follow the growth of open repositories and to maintain a list of repositories that use the software GNU Eprints - built by Southampton University to facilitate self-archiving (<http://roar.eprints.org/?action=browse>).

Table 21 Listing of open repositories according to number of repositories (ROAR 2007-01-27)

Nr	Country	Number of archives	Celestial	Records	Average	Median
1	U.S.A.	210	161	3065618	19041	505
2	U.K.	90	73	287677	3941	320
3	Germany	77	60	219215	3654	562
4	Brazil	49	34	140097	4121	104
5	Canada	39	32	36371	1137	233
6	France	36	29	139728	4818	453
7	Australia	32	27	110224	4082	1084
8	Sweden	31	28	41222	1472	873
15	Denmark	9	6	10101	1684	1053
20	Norway	7	4	10157	2539	734
21	Finland	6	4	12342	3086	848

Records only show the number of OAI-PMH records that are already part of a repository and only for those repositories that have been harvested by *Celestial*, which presents OAI compatible repositories. The number of available full texts is considerably lower than the number stated under records.

### Four different systems used by Swedish Open Access repositories:

#### 1. The DiVA system - 15 repositories

Uppsala: *DiVA, Digital Scientific Repository* (Academic Archive On-line) (<http://epc.ub.uu.se/>)  
DiVA contains dissertations and other publications in full text from a number of universities. DiVA is the overall term for a system for electronic publishing and archiving, developed by the Unit for digital publishing at Uppsala University Library funded by the university.

Out of 4 systems DiVA is the only one that offers publication support. DiVA handles metadata about different types of documents in both physical and electronic formats and stores search strings to these. The system is also used to create parts of the digital original used to produce the printed and the electronic publication. By integrating the flow for the production of both a printed and an electronic version, the accordance between them is guaranteed. The technical solutions that are used, are based on XLM as a format for transmitting and storing both metadata and full texts, right now mostly as PDF. DiVA has developed a portal for the U/UC that is part of the DiVA cooperation. Since the system can handle any format, each organisation can decide which format it wants to support (<http://www.diva-portal.org/>).

Participating libraries contribute to costs for development and management.

Price list for Uppsala's publication of doctoral dissertations see <http://www.ub.uu.se/forauthors/index.php/Main/PricelistPage>.

The 15 institutes of higher education that are part of DiVA and use its software (070205):

Table 22 Swedish institutes of higher education participating in DiVA

Gävle	46	Mälardalen	56
The Swedish School of Sports and Health Science	73	Skövde	25
Jönköping	554	Stockholm	1463
Karlstad	540	Södertörn	907
The Royal Institute of Technology	1809	Umeå	798
Linköping	3908	Uppsala	2472
Mid Sweden University	31	Växjö	653
		Örebro	347

Publication types: Articles (39) Doctoral dissertations (5,467) Master theses/ undergraduate theses (8,251) Reports (686) Chapters (2) Other (19) (Note! In this total number, NTNU in Trondheim is included with 792 documents)

The unit for electronic publication also runs *OPUS* - a bibliographic database for Uppsala University. It is mandatory to register all scientific works published by researchers and other employees. During 2007/2008 a new version of *DiVA/OPUS* will be launched, where the 2 systems are merged and improved functions for publication of journals and post-prints will be developed (<http://opus.uu.se/>).

## 2. Self-developed software - three repositories

*DALEA - Dalarna University College Electronic Archive* is a database over the university college research publications and undergraduate theses. Some are available in full text. 2037 posts OAI (ROAR 2007-01-27) (<http://dalea.du.se/>)

*Lund University Dissertations – Scripta Academica Lundensia*  
1996 - 4,732 records OAI of which 325 in full text  
(ROAR 2007-01-27) (<http://theses.lub.lu.se/postgrad/publish/scripta/>)

*Blekinge Institute of Technology – The research database*  
Started in 1997 as the DELFIN project. Over 2,000 records of which 600 in full text (<http://www.bth.se/fou/>)

## 3. D-Space - two repositories

*GUPEA – Göteborg University's publications - e-publishing and e-repositories*  
OAI-PMH 611 records (ROAR 2007-01-27)

*MUEP - Malmö University Electronic Publishing*  
OAI-PMH 2,197 records (ROAR 2007-01-27)

#### 4. GNU eprints - seven repositories

(ROAR 2007-01-27 presents nine of them, but one of these was a test repository and one was reported twice. Note that the number of records might have changed)

1. *Theses Kristianstad University* (931 records)
2. *The School of Business, Economics and Law at Göteborg University* (3,304 records)  
Note! Will be transferred into GUPEA and thus to DSpace
3. *Lund University's research database LU-Research* (6,242 posts)  
Note! a new system is being developed, and is expected to be ready during 2007
4. *Swedish Institute of Computer Science - SICS*. An independent non-commercial research institute (196 records)
5. *Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences - SLU. EPSILON*. Master theses - undergraduate theses (891)
6. *Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences - SLU. EPSILON*. Other publications than master theses and dissertations (Epsilon Open Archive <http://pub-epsilon.slu.se/>)
7. *Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences - SLU. EPSILON*. Doctoral dissertations (636).

#### OA journals

As in Norway, there are around 10 scientific OA journals in Sweden. Below the harvest from two different systems are presented.

Quality-controlled OA journals within all subjects and in all languages are recorded in *DOAJ – Directory of Open Access Journals* which contains 2,545 journals (2007-01-27), and 125,591 articles, searchable in full text. Since it is not possible at present to search for country of publication in DOAJ, the journals presented have been found by using the search term Swedish with matches for language and/or title words. Five journals from other sources have been added.

DOAJ now also covers the Open Access articles in the so called hybrid journals. Here you also find advice for authors on different copyright conditions, licence alternatives, current article prices etc.

Table 23 DOAJ 2007-01-17 (10 titles, search terms Swedish + 5 titles through other sources)

Title	Subject	Publisher	Lang.	Start	Ulrichs	DOAJ full text	JCR	Support
AIGIS	Lang/Lit	Greek and Latin, Cop. Univ	Dan, Nor, Swe	2001	YES			
Anpere: Anthropol Perspect Religion	Anthropol Religion	Anpere	Dan, Eng, Nor, Swe	2006	YES			
Elore	Anthropolog	Finnish Folklore Soc	Eng, Fin, Swe	1995	YES			
Hereditas	Cytology Genetics	Blackwell Publishing	Eng	2005	YES		YES	
Human IT	Library and Info Science	University College of Borås	Eng, Swe	1997	YES	YES		VR 80K NOP
Hygiea Internat interdisc J hist public health	Public health	Int Network Hist publ health	Eng	1999	YES			
International Journal of Ageing and Later Life	Social Sciences	Univ Linköping	Eng	2006	YES	YES		
J Nonlinear Mathematical Physics	Physics, Mathematics	Norbert Euler (inst matematik, Luleå tekn univ)	Eng	1994	YES	YES	YES	
Mirator	History	Univ Jyväskylä Hist and Ethnol	Eng, Fin, Swe	2000	YES			
QOG working paper series	Political Science	Quality of Government Institute	Eng, Swe	2005	YES			
Res Cogitans: Journal of Philosophy	Philos	Inst Philos, Edu, Study Religions, Univ Southern Denmark	Dan, Eng, Fre, Ger, Nor, Swe	2004	YES			
STM-Online	Music	Swedish Musicol Society	Eng, Ger, Swe	1998	YES			VR 80K
Svensk Biblioteksforskning	Libr Info Science	Swed School of Libr & Info Sci	Dan, Eng, Nor, Swe	2005	YES	YES		
Systems, signs & actions	Comp Sci Media and communicat	Linköping Univ, Aarhus Univ	Eng	2005	YES			
Tijdschrift voor Skandinavistiek	Hist Lang Lit	Tijdschrift voor Skandinavistiek	Dan, Dutch, Eng, Ger, Swe	2002	YES			

*Ulrich's Periodicals Directory* is a commercial database on all kinds of serial publications. Scientific journals published in Sweden or in Swedish have been searched here. The search terms used and the results are seen in the table below. Ulrich's denomination term for scientific journal has been used throughout. Journals with peer review are shown separately. The search was conducted 2007-01-22.



Table 24 Number of scientific journals from Ulrich´s Periodicals Directory published in Sweden

Scientific, active journals published in Sweden (could be jointly published with another country)					
<i>Total number</i>	Online	Online, OA	JCR	JCR, online	JCR, online, OA
776	167	18	39	34	2
Not peer reviewed:					
617	92	6	1***	1***	0
Peer reviewed:					
159*	75	12	38*****	33*****	2**

\*17 of these are supported by VR-HS 2006 with SEK 1 765 800 and 3 are supported by NOP-HS.

\*\* *Hereditas*, *J Nonlinear Mathematical Physics*, \*\*\**Physica Scripta*, \*\*\*\* *Ethnos*,

\*\*\*\*\* *Sociologisk forskning* is supported by Vetenskapsrådet

Table 25 Number of scientific journals from Ulrich´s Periodicals Directory with material in Swedish

Scientific, active journals published in Swedish (may also contain material in another language)					
<i>Total number</i>	Online	Online, OA	JCR	JCR, online	JCR, online, OA
556	98	9	3	0	0
Not peer reviewed:					
460	73	3	0	0	0
Peer reviewed:					
96	25	6	3	0	0

Table 26 Number of scientific journals from Ulrich´s Periodicals Directory published in Sweden with material in Swedish

Scientific, active journals published in Sweden and with material in Swedish (may also contain material in another language)					
<i>Total number</i>	Online	Online, OA	JCR	JCR, online	JCR, online, OA
431	69	6	1*	0	0
Not peer reviewed:					
364	53	2	0	0	0
Peer reviewed:					
67	16	4**	1*	0	0

\**Sociologisk Forskning* \*\**Anpere*, *Human IT*, *Svenska Tidskrift för Musikforskning*, *Systems*, *Signs and Actions*

## Open Access publishing - U/UC publishing 'university presses'

*LiU E-Press - Linköping University Electronic Press* (<http://www.ep.liu.se/index.sv.html>) was established already in 1996 in order to handle the university's electronic publishing. Since 2004, LiU E-Press is an independent non-commercial unit connected to the university library and with its own management. The ambition is to e-publish freely available texts as far as possible. LiU E-Press authors keep the copyright to their work. Find more information on LiU E-Press in the section Open Access journal publishing in the Nordic countries.

*Electronic Publishing Centre - EPC Uppsala* publishes the scientific journal *Uppsala Journal of Medical Sciences*, which dates back to 1865. It now publishes three issues per year and is since a couple of years also available electronically. The journal's printing office, which was also responsible for the e-publishing, went bankrupt, and in order to continue publishing the DiVA system was adapted and further developed. System, web interface and work flow were finished in May/June 2006. Since that, around 60 articles have been published and are now available in full text (pdf) on <http://www.ujms.se/>. Retroactive publishing from 2005 and earlier is currently under way.

EPC is running the project *Tidskrifter elektroniskt! Bibliotek som stöd för publicering*, (Journals electronically! Libraries in support of publishing) with the purpose of supplying scientific journal editors with simple, usable tools and support functions for e-publishing. The project is managed by Uppsala University Library and is partly funded by BIBSAM.

For a few years, *Lunds University Libraries* have been hosting the international journal *Information Research* with its editorial office in Sheffield. The journal is now being transferred to Open Journals System (OJS), a system used by 800 journals around the world, is under way.

## Quality

Without doubt, it is important to guarantee the quality of the Open Access publishing. OA journals work in a traditional way with peer review and selection. Since the prestige of the journals is important, there has been certain uneasiness about publishing material in journals that have not yet established themselves and gained an impact (factor).

On behalf of *SUHF*, Lund University Libraries Head Office has made a web survey about the usage of publication data *Publikationsdata vid meritvärdering, tjänstetillsättning, befordran och resursfördelning* (The Use of Publication data for merit assessments, appointments of posts, promotions and resource allocations). The survey contained a very detailed battery of questions produced with the help of SUHF's working group for information supply and was mailed to selected responsible staff at all Swedish universities and university colleges.

Concerning parallel publishing in open repositories, it is mostly the version control that is discussed. Publishers accepting parallel publishing, often limit their approval to the author's latest peer reviewed and accepted version. The publisher's PDF (with possible copy editing) can normally not be published. Thus, it is important, that the versions are clearly identified. In *LU-research* one uses a front page with the following text:

"This is an author produced version of a paper published in [Journal name]. This paper has been peer-reviewed, but does not include the final publisher proof-corrections or journal pagination. Citation for the published paper: [author(s)] ["article title"], [journal title, publication year, volume, issue, pages] [URL to article at publisher's site. Use DOI (link to DOI explanation) if possible]. Access to the published version may require journal subscription. Published with permission from: [Publisher name]"

## Copyright Sweden

The two model licences proposed by a working group at Lund University produced in 2002 were sent, through SUHF, for consideration to all Swedish universities and university colleges. One was a Licence to Publish between the author and the publisher, and the other was an agreement between the author and his or her university for the publishing in the university's open repository. The response was positive and showed that there is a need for support in the area. Laws and regulations are interpreted differently at different institutes of higher education. A major, national project *Upphovsrättsfrågor i en ny publiceringsmiljö* (Copyright issues in a new publication environment) is therefore launched in Lund, funded by the Department for National Co-operation of the National Library of Sweden and the Swedish Research Council.

A future agreement regulation could be possible. In her report, *Nyttiggörande av högskoleuppfinningar* (Making use of university inventions) SOU 2005:95, Professor Marianne Levin writes about the employee's copyright:

"The starting point of the copyright law is that the copyright belongs to the person, who has created a literary or artistic work, i.e. the Originator. Therefore, there are no specific policies to regulate who is entitled to works created during an employment, since it has not been considered suitable to interfere in the agreement negotiations by laying down rules, which could possibly be to the advantage of one party. Therefore, the main rule is that copyright belongs to the original author of the work and not to the employer, but the parties are free to enter agreements transferring the copyright to the employer."

Marianne Levin points out that there might be a wish to make use of copyright protected material through the universities and university colleges:

"Even though it is relatively rare today to explicitly regulate the making of the usage of copyright protected works in an employment agreement or other agreement, there might be, considering the ongoing development, reason to particularly draw attention to the fact that such conditions are well suited for an agreement regulation."

## Challenges for the future

### Funding

It is obvious that the Open Access activities are well on their way in the Nordic countries, but that is still a question of small-scale projects. However, the prerequisites are good for an increase in the number of institutional repositories and they are gradually moving from projects to regular operational services. Available project support for development and co-ordination of repositories has contributed significantly to this outcome. The institutional repositories are at present funded with project grants and by the individual organisations.

An interesting funding alternative for a whole discipline has been developed by CERN. High Energy physicists were, as we know, pioneers with the open repository ArXiv.org. The physicists now want their publications to be published as Open Access. They have carried out a comprehensive inventory of publications and publication channels within Particle Physics and have had discussions with leading publishers about the possibilities to realize Open Access. The suggested model is based on the idea that the journals/articles are 'bought free', i.e. that the publishers are paid to publish. A sponsoring consortium has been created in order to find a form of funding for this. The model they have come up with means that the countries in question pay proportionally against their share of the publications. Sweden, for example, is responsible for 0.9 % of the publications within particle physics, which would mean a cost of 550,000 SEK.

### Quality control

Since the repositories today, for the most part, contain material published by researchers at their respective universities and university colleges, strategies are needed in the near future to provide researchers with incentives to publish parallel versions of their articles, conference contributions and other research publications in the institutional repositories. For this we need good instructions and work flows, so that double registration of, for example, bibliographical data for a publication is avoided. The quality control of registered material is one of the stages of these work flows. Research databases where bibliographical data about publications and researchers are registered and research databases where the same bibliographical data plus a text file containing the publication are added should be made compatible or integrated so that a smooth data transfer and matching can be carried out. In the country reports, there are examples of these kinds of linking of databases.

### Reviewing publication data

In the EC study 2006 concerning the scientific communication market in Europe a discussion about further quality criteria for the reviewing of scientific journals beyond the scientific quality in itself, *stricto sensu*, is introduced. Criteria mentioned is "quality of dissemination" which, among other things, includes the right to parallel publishing. It also mentions the journals' handling of copyright issues.

It must be clearly stated that Open Access publishing does not compromise the scientific quality. The publications are reviewed and accepted whether they are published in an OA journal or are parallel published in an open repository.

The number of OA journals covered by ISI is constantly growing, and several of them have positioned themselves highly within their subject field. ISI - Thomson Scientific (<http://scientific.thomson.com/isi/>) has shown that OA journals are quoted earlier than the printed editions (Immediacy Index). Other studies, for example by Antelman (2004) and Harnad (2004), have shown a significant citation increase for OA articles compared with non-OA articles. Good quality assessment and ranking systems are needed; they are not depending on the current publication model, but can well be separated from the actual distribution and be improved and extended. New types of ranking systems are available at for example: *Citebase*, *Citeseer*, *Citations in Economics*. (<http://www.citebase.org/>, <http://citeseer.ist.psu.edu/>, <http://citec.repec.org/>). The freely available texts can be found using *Google*, *Google Scholar* and *OALster*, which search deep into the OAI compatible repositories.

## Copyright

Instructions about copyright questions and permissions for parallel publishing of articles in institutional repositories are necessary and should, among other things, raise the question about which version of the article you are allowed to submit to the repository. At present, the publishers' opinion differs about whether it is the so-called final author version or the version with the publishers' layout and possible editorial changes that can be uploaded in a repository.

Instructions about which type of agreement a researcher or a doctoral candidate signs with a publisher about the publishing of an article is also of great importance. It can often be decisive for how the material is handled when, for example, publishing a doctoral dissertation in electronic format. If the dissertation contains published articles, it depends on under which type of agreement the article has been contracted, and whether the author has the right to publish the article, for example, in a doctoral dissertation or Open Access in a repository. An interesting question is whether you can make agreements stipulating that the copyright of a work produced on duty will stay with the university/employer.

There are several publication models to look at, for example *SPARC Authors' Addendum*, which is added to the publishers' licence and guarantees some fundamental rights to the author, like the right to parallel publishing (<http://www.library.upenn.edu/scholcomm/AuthorsAddendum4.pdf>)

Another alternative are the *Creative Commons* licences. The Creative Commons project was launched in 2001 by prominent lawyers in the US to facilitate the dissemination of copyright material guaranteeing different types of protection for the originator. Creative Commons provide different licence models depending on which type of usage they wish to allow. Creative Commons are spread all over the world and is translated into many languages (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/>).

Last autumn, SURF in the Netherlands, together with JISC in the UK, presented a joint *Licence to Publish*. Behind this licence was the fundamental policy that the copyright should stay with the author, who grants the right to the publishers to publish the work. The author retains the right to deposit the final version in an open repository.

## Long term preservation

The institutional repositories are as a rule not meant for long time preservation and archiving according to archiving standards. Therefore long term solutions about the archiving of electronic publications should take place and should be affected by the national libraries of the respective countries. Which formats and which type of descriptive material, that are needed for long term preservation and how the material will be hosted by the national libraries, are some of the questions of high priority that need to be solved within a few years. In, for example, Sweden and Finland legal deposit for electronic publications is expected to be decreed.

*Persistent Identifiers* are also an immediate question that can be related to archiving and future access of publications.

## Developing services

In the future the development of new services which compile and use the data existing in the institutional repositories will be interesting to see grow. The National Library in Finland has already developed the joint library portal *Nelli*, ([http://www.nelliportaali.fi/V?new\\_Lng=eng](http://www.nelliportaali.fi/V?new_Lng=eng)) where you can cross search all institutional repositories for doctoral dissertations. Other examples of new services are to be found in the other Nordic countries. Remaining services that could be of interest are subject and material-specific services covering, for example, available learning objects and resources or raw e-science data. Specific joint Nordic services within the learning environment or e-science could be interesting developments.

## PART 2

### Workshop views and recommendations



## Preface

Nordbib was the organizer of a policymaking workshop on Open Access, 23 and 24 April 2007, which took place at hotel Marienlyst in Elsinore, Denmark. The workshop was held at a strategic level where invited key persons from relevant organisations and institutions were engaged in the discussions on dissemination strategies and policy within scholarly communication and Open Access.

In connection with this workshop a satellite workshop was arranged in cooperation with the Nordic Board for Periodicals in the Humanities and the Social Sciences (NOP-HS) concerning e-publishing on a practical level through showcases, best practice and business models.

Nordbib had invited key persons from the 5 Nordic countries representing the ministries, research councils, universities and research institutes and libraries as well as researcher and scholarly societies, academies and university publishers.

The aim of the workshop was to create understanding and dialogue concerning essential issues as quality assurance, copyright issues, strategies and policies for scholarly publishing and thereby put Open Access on the political agenda.

The programme was based on the results of an analysis of the Open Access situation in the Nordic countries Part 1 State of the art report in the present publication. Key issues and results from the analysis formed the agenda for the discussions on the workshop in the means of creating recommendations for further actions nationally on strategic and political level.

Presentations were given on very different aspects of the consequences that e-publishing and Open Access as well as no Open Access seems to have for the student, researcher, business and citizen. 11 very experienced speakers from the UK, Norway, Sweden, Finland and Denmark contributed with their views and experiences. The workshop programme and the presentations are available for download from [www.nordbib.net](http://www.nordbib.net).

The issues and questions raised were formed within the following six themes:

- Open Access in the Nordic countries
- Publishing policies
- Copyright
- Financing models
- Nordic level recommendations
- A joint action plan.

The immediate feedback from the participants was positive and the evaluation process executed in the aftermath of the workshop concluded that it had been two successful days. Valuable input has been delivered, concerning the further development of recommendations for guidelines and funding for common Nordic initiatives in order to support the Nordic scientific publishers in the transition to electronic publishing and Open Access.

## Analysis of group discussions

As a result of the group discussions our aim was to analyze the answers from the groups in order to find commonly agreed principles and possible policy statements. In the analysis we also hoped to be able to make suggestions for further discussion and policy statements. There will be follow-up conferences within the Nordbib programme (Work Package 1 Policy and Visibility) in the coming years and our intention is to feed information about important discussion topics and policy issues for the next Nordbib workshop.

### Theme 1 Open Access in the Nordic countries

#### Questions:

- 1) Publishing in the Nordic languages
  - a) Internationalisation - would it be advisable to publish in English? Is local language publishing a barrier to international recognition and impact?
  - b) Is there a common Nordic interest in establishing a Nordic language research portal?
  - c) Would it solve the problem of dissemination, with respect to research written in the Nordic languages, if comprehensive summaries in English were added to this portal?

The discussion about publishing language assumes that English is the language of internationalisation and visibility and that English is favoured in the discussion about research assessments, international recognition and impact. Nearly all the groups recognized the importance of publishing in English but also stated that there are differences among disciplines.

One important argument in the discussion was also that journals in English should not automatically be regarded as superior to those in the native languages.

Publishing in both national languages and English was seen as important and beneficial and should be encouraged.

The danger of publishing in “bad” English is widely recognized and the groups seemed to find a need for exercises in writing research reports and articles in English. One other way to solve the problem is by having professional translators to work with the English texts. If an English translation is seen as important in some fields translations should be mandatory and the system should be granted funding.

Regarding the suggestion for a portal for Nordic language research the opinions were far apart having both supporters and opponents. The supporting comments were that a portal could be a good way to spread information about research in the Nordic languages, increase visibility of a research field and could make the general public aware of how research funding is spent. To increase value a “cream of science” feature could be added to highlight important research in different fields.

The problems identified, were that the portal would not interest enough general public or researchers. A Nordic portal should carefully identify the users and their needs. Do researchers for example prefer reading articles in English? Due to the differences in research fields a Nordic portal is seen as problematic and subject based portals could be an alternative. Funding also for long-term preservation and system function should be secured.

Comprehensive summaries were in some groups seen as a means to increase visibility, but also to increase a demand for English full texts and complete translations. Summaries were seen as good substitutes if the researcher finds the research area of marginal relevance to his/her own research field. Publication patterns were seen as influenced by cultural and social reasons and whether the research field is active in international communities or not.

## Theme 2 Publishing policies

### Questions:

- 1) Filling the OA archives
  - a) Could universities require researchers to deposit their final, peer-reviewed copy in an open archive?
  - b) Would creating a joint Nordic initiative make it possible to encourage funding bodies to require OA publishing?

The question was seen as complicated and there were different opinions within some of the groups. The question about filling publication archives with OA material could be regarded also from the perspective of the publisher and not only the researcher or the general public. Open access could mean that the publishers have nothing to sell. There are also big differences between publishers, expressed in terms of publishers in humanities or publishers in the STM fields. Open access is also considered more important in disciplines where fast dissemination is crucial, for example in computer science.

The lively discussion about gold and green road to Open Access that has been carried out among advocates of Open Access for years was also commented in one of the groups, where some members found the gold road more effective than the green road. Gold road means in this case, OA journals. Open Access could also be seen as a quality factor when assessing journals for publishing.

Mandating researchers to deposit a copy of their articles in a repository has been shown to be an efficient way to increase the amount of OA publications but the problems with the legal aspects concerning the rights of the author, the university and the publisher have to be clarified. If the mandating is legally possible then it could be applied in the universities. A legal advice unit in the university is a way to increase the researchers' awareness of copyright. This is important also with respect to which version of the article the researcher is supposed to deposit in the repository. A user friendly interface to the archive was also mentioned as important in order to increase the researchers' interest to deposit material.

For universities recommendations to deposit were generally seen as the preferred way, not mandating, but in practice there are different arrangements in the universities. Instead of mandating, economic incentives for the researchers to self-archive in a repository were proposed.

The most efficient incentive to deposit would be if the research funding bodies and the national research councils should require the researchers to deposit a copy of publicly funded research in an open archive.

## Theme 3 Copyright - Potential impact of joint Nordic initiatives and recommendations

### Questions:

- 1) Copyright policies
  - a) Would a common Nordic policy be able to put a requisite amount of pressure on publisher attitudes to parallel publishing?

Examples:

- (a) Require the right to parallel publishing within six months of publication.
- (b) Require the right to use the publisher's pdf version.
- b) Recommendations to the Nordic universities not to subscribe to journals not allowing parallel publishing.
- c) Include in the national licence agreements for access to journals the right for participating universities to parallel publish articles from journals included in the licence agreement.
- d) Make the Nordic systems for supporting journals conditional upon journals agreeing to parallel publishing?

The discussions were thus focused on four main themes:

- A common Nordic copyright policy
- Limiting Nordic university subscriptions to publishers allowing parallel publishing
- National licence agreements including a right for licensees to parallel publishing
- Nordic journal support systems conditional upon journals agreeing to parallel publishing.

It was generally agreed that the matter of copyright is important and that much more information is needed, especially for researchers but also for other parties involved, e.g. librarians, university administrators, and funding authorities. International initiatives in this area should be studied as possible models.

A common Nordic approach including university researchers, publishers, and funding bodies was seen by some groups as a good idea. Copyright issues could well be raised in joint discussions between the Nordic Research Councils. The Nordic Council of Ministers was mentioned as another important forum and a declaration from them might be helpful.

For policies to be truly effective, however, decisions should preferably be taken at a higher level, i.e. the European Commission.

National policies could be useful in showing researchers the importance of retaining fundamental rights, e.g. the right to parallel publishing. It is difficult for individual researchers to handle copyright issues. To help researchers in the publishing process universities should present extensive information on rules and policies on their web pages and be prepared to offer legal advice.

Embargo periods were to be avoided or at least be no longer than six months, although experiences have shown that publishers try to extend the embargo period to 1 to 2 years.

A certain flexibility in copyright policies was considered necessary to allow for differences between disciplines.

It was also debated whether parallel publishing offered a potential threat to small independent publishers, especially in the humanities and it was suggested by some that the system of parallel publishing was mainly geared towards publishers within STM.

The proposal that Nordic universities should limit subscriptions to publishers allowing parallel publishing was seen by certain participants as unrealistic. Others pointed out, that the idea should be borne in mind even if universities must decide on subscriptions on a basis of quality and not OA policy. It would be worthwhile to investigate the legal aspects involved. We should try to put pressure on the supply side as this is what we can change.

There was general support for the proposal that national licence agreements should include a right for participating universities to parallel publishing in all journals covered by the licence. Universities must provide the necessary open archives. The importance of quality control of the data in those archives was emphasized. Researchers must regard them as reliable, trustworthy and stable. The agreed common metadata standards used by university archives should guarantee equal standards and quality.

Finally, an additional way to increase the visibility of Nordic research was discussed. To ensure parallel publishing of articles in Nordic research journals the current systems for supporting Nordic

journals in the humanities and social sciences could be conditional upon journals agreeing to parallel publishing. This was seen as an idea definitely worth trying. Journals should only be supported if they agree to parallel publishing. An argument against was that small journals fear a loss of subscription money needed to cover editorial costs. A common action plan on a European level was suggested.

#### Theme 4 Financing models

##### Questions:

- 1) Financing of OA publishing of Nordic research
  - a) Support for OA journals and OA archives: Advantages and disadvantages of possible models?
  - b) Can the present models for supporting Nordic journals in the humanities and social sciences be used for supporting Open Access?
  - c) Would it be possible to agree on a joint Nordic recommendation?

The question of finding the optimal financing models for Open Access is crucial and many participants wanted new workshops bringing the key actors together to discuss these complicated issues. More statistics and economic analyses are also required.

Supporting today's two main roads to Open Access - OA journals and OA archives – involves two kinds of costs, author charges and costs for setting up and running institutional archives or subject based archives. It must be noted, however, that the traditional publishing system also involves double costs, i.e. subscription fees and the “hidden” costs paid by the universities for editors and referees.

During the transition period from a subscription model to an author-pay model we must accept the extra costs involved in having two parallel systems.

We must try to make sure that public funding of research is put to the best use and gives the best possible value for money. Government funding has to be channelled into the most cost-efficient models, primarily via universities and funding authorities. How can then university funding be channelled from subscription costs to distribution costs, from funding research itself to include funding the distribution of results?

If the costs of author charges in OA publishing are to be included in research grants it is important that they are specifically reserved for this purpose in the grant and not just listed under “allowable costs”.

Universities require their employed researchers to publish and are therefore obliged to help create the best possibilities for their output and to ensure that this is correctly attributed. Universities could establish funds to finance publishing and thus motivate their researchers to publish Open Access. Costs for OA archives should be included in university budgets and be supported on national level. Some participants wanted to remind us that authors are not restricted to just university employees.

Some participants feared that if the national research councils finance Open Access, universities and libraries would be deprived of their incentives to integrate the costs into their budgets. Integrating the costs for Open Access into the overhead was the proposed solution. In the author-pay model researchers have to be reimbursed by public money, but today neither libraries nor universities have finances for that.

There was some speculation as to whether the author-pay model would result in fewer publications per year. An obvious fact is, however, that excellent research institutions publishing many publications will experience increased costs and this side effect should be levelled out by the research councils.

When considering economic models for Open Access it is important not to neglect the considerable differences between disciplines. Publication patterns and types differ and impact factors and rankings are not seen as important in the humanities and the social sciences as in STM.

To secure Open Access to publicly funded research one of the groups proposed two different models, one for the sciences and one for the humanities. The author-pay model was seen as more suited to the sciences. In the humanities the research councils should pay the total costs (except peer review) of the high profile journals. This model would offer more control of the journals, thus facilitating the inclusion of articles based on language. The group recommends that this model be discussed on a Nordic level.

Another group strongly recommended that the Nordic Council of Ministers look at the economic models in UK and engage in joint discussions.

To realize OA publishing, researchers must be made aware of the possibilities offered and their doubts about the credibility of OA journals must be alleviated. Trusted journals converting to Open Access was seen as one way. A huge problem is that the journal ranking system steers researchers to the highest impact journals. The journal rankings influence the allocation of resources as shown by examples from Finland and from the Norwegian ranking system. The choices of publishing channels influence funding decisions and funding policies influence the choice of channels.

As quality depends not on the business model but on the qualifications of editors and reviewers, who themselves are part of the academic community, there was hope that the problem of the researchers' quest for status in the established publication system would eventually solve itself. When discussing the question is who sets the agenda - publishers or universities?

The opinion was that we should not only focus on the supply side but also look at the demand side by analyzing downloads and discuss quality versus quantity. Long-term preservation is also important to researchers. This should be the responsibility of the national libraries but the archiving institutions must also be responsible in cooperation with the national libraries.

We should cooperate with the smaller publishers and support academic societies in switching to Open Access. In doing so we must understand that a transition phase will involve infrastructural and financial difficulties for them.

They will find it too expensive to keep both an Open Access and a print version of their journals. The largest subscribers (libraries) are expected to cancel their subscriptions to the printed versions. Publishers fear that public funding will be insufficient to cover the loss of subscription income, thus leading to the decline and death of the small scholarly publishers. Only the large "mainstream" publishers will survive. Against this doomsday perspective it was argued that small publishers already are being threatened by the existing subscription/licensing Big Deal systems efficiently squeezing them out of the library market.

A long term solution would be to find an OA model where the costs are down-sized and where research authorities cover the costs for publishers. The subscription model does not have to be abolished. Small, value-priced, high quality journals should be able to survive.

The fears of small publishers can also be alleviated by the fact that OA archiving not only results in more usage and citations of published articles but can also result in more subscriptions.

Publishing is a time consuming work and needs professional and well qualified staff to take care of technique and indexing. Libraries are interested in this assignment but a reallocation of resources or an increased funding will be needed. There will also be legal aspects and logistics to consider. The gain is increased visibility and impact.

Discussion of the question if the present models for supporting Nordic journals in the humanities and social sciences can be used for supporting Open Access gave the following answers.



On a Nordic and national level public funding to peer-reviewed journals exists. However, the guidelines could be revised to be more supportive towards electronic and OA publishing.

In the cases where the public financial support is critical it would definitely be important that the question on guidelines for Open Access is raised. In some cases the print version was also seen as important and something to preserve. But the question on how long the paper version will last and who is going to read them was also raised.

#### Theme 5 Integrate OA archives and research registration databases?

- 1) Integrate OA archives with research registration databases
  - a) Is there a need for a Nordic research registration database including full text material?
  - b) Would it be possible to agree on a joint Nordic plan of action?

Concerning the needs for a Nordic research registration database including full text material there seems to be a general agreement on the importance of integration and multi-functionality of current research registration databases and OA archives. For many years the development and purpose of the systems have been different, the CRIS systems mainly serving administration, research assessment and the OA archives enabling full text to research publications. However, there are clear advantages in combining these functions into supporting all purposes, CVs, publication lists, reports, full text access to articles etc.

In the group discussions the need for a specific Nordic research registration database including full text material was not seen as particularly interesting. The groups anticipated compatibility problems especially since there are many different ways for collecting and presenting the data. A joint Nordic initiative therefore needs a close collaboration between the Nordic countries.

The “Norwegian model” is developing very fast and was discussed as an alternative for the Nordic countries in one of the groups. The bibliographic data is collected as mandatory in the model. Linking to full text is seen as the next step. A common database for the Nordic countries could allow for cross links, and could collect data from Nordic journals not presently indexed in ISI.

Regarding the possibility to agree on a joint Nordic plan of action, the groups had difficulties in deciding what the plan of action should aim at. Some of the groups saw it as a follow up question to the Nordic research registration database including full texts and were rather negative. One group was of the opinion that overall knowledge exchange is important even though differences between the countries should be considered.

The national governments and maybe the Nordic council have to take the political decisions but common statements, procedures, action plans etc. might serve the cause. As a subject matter for a joint Nordic plan of action, Nordic journals were seen as important.

Electronic OA publishing is generally cheaper than print but support in the form of public funding is needed for example for staff. New challenges and new techniques should be introduced.

On the satellite workshop on day 2, three Nordic initiatives describing supporting activities and platforms for scientific journal publishing were presented. The first and second presentation, the

DEFF e-TSS project and the Ejournals@cbs dealt with initiatives supporting electronic journal publishing in Denmark and the third presentation, introduced the TSV platform, where members of the Federation of Finnish Learned Societies can get advice and technical infrastructure for electronic journal publishing. These initiatives seem to be a good way towards a move from print to electronic in small society driven journal publishing.



## Conclusions on workshop views and recommendations

Among the themes discussed, we have chosen as challenges for the future the handling of copyright issues and the finding of financing models that support Open Access. A general recommendation was that information directed to the research community regarding copyright is of vital importance. For the individual researcher legal advice, provided for example by the university, regarding the author's fundamental rights to parallel publishing is one important step to enhance Open Access and fill the publication archives. A proper forum where copyright issues in respect to negotiations with publishers could be discussed is meetings between the Nordic Research Councils, but even more preferable are decisions taken by the European Commission.

The transition from a financing model where subscriptions and licensing agreements between the libraries and the publishers dominate, to for example a model supporting author charges is a long term project and might affect the scientific disciplines very differently. Extra costs for the transition period are to be expected. The employer role of the universities in an author pay model creates a need to find means to finance publishing of the research output of the university employees. An important question for future discussions is therefore finding possible solutions either in the form of funding included in the research grants or specifically established funds in the universities to finance publishing. A proper financing model also has to take into account authors not employed by universities and the differences between disciplines.

In addition to the above mentioned challenges the future of scientific publishing in the Nordic languages was seen as an important issue. Specifically the public funding of scientific journals publishing in the Nordic languages could be directed to support electronic publishing and Open Access. This could also be a subject for a Nordic plan of action. Journal publishing as an area for an action plan would also take into account the important role of the publisher as a collaborating partner.

*Helsinki and Lund, 22 June 2007*

Turid Hedlund and Ingegerd Rabow

## References

- Antelman, K, (2004) Do Open-Access articles have a greater research impact? *College & Research Libraries*, September 372-382.
- EC (2006) "Study on the economic and technical evolution of the scientific publication markets in Europe" [http://ec.europa.eu/research/science-society/pdf/scientific-publication-study\\_en.pdf](http://ec.europa.eu/research/science-society/pdf/scientific-publication-study_en.pdf)
- EURAB 06.049, Scientific publication: policy on Open Access., Final report. December 2006
- Greenblatt, Stephen, (2002) *A Special Letter from Stephen Greenblatt, 28 May 2002* [http://www.mla.org/scholarly\\_pub](http://www.mla.org/scholarly_pub)
- Hagerlid, J., (2006) Open Access in Sweden 2002-2005 . [http://www.kb.se/openaccess/dokumentation/janh\\_elpub\\_final.pdf](http://www.kb.se/openaccess/dokumentation/janh_elpub_final.pdf)
- Harnad, S, and Tim Brody, "Comparing the Impact of Open Access (OA) vs. Non-OA Articles in the Same Journals," *D-Lib Magazine* 10 (June2004)<http://www.dlib.org/dlib/june04/harnad/06harnad.html>
- Hedlund, T.; Roos, A. (2007) Open Access publishing as a discipline specific way of scientific communication: the case of biomedical research in Finland. Accepted for publication in *Advances in Library Administration and Organization* 25 ( May 2007)
- Hedlund, T.; Roos, A. (2006) Open Access publishing in Finland: Discipline specific publishing patterns in biomedicine and economics. *EIPub conference* in Bansko, Bulgaria 14-16.6.2006 <http://info.tuwien.ac.at/elpub2006/home.html>
- Holmqvist, K., Johansson, T. (2005) Organiserad vetenskaplig elektronisk publicering vid Universitet och högskolor i Sverige. Magisteruppsats Lunds universitet.
- Ilva, J. (2004). Kuka käyttää Elektraa? Huomioita kotimaisen artikkeliaineiston käytöstä. Tietolinja 2, 2004 <http://www.lib.helsinki.fi/tietolinja/0204/elektra.html>
- Jensen, M. (2001) *The Chronicle of Higher Education*, 2001, 14 sept. "Academic Press Gives Away Its Secret of Success" <http://chronicle.com/weekly/v48/i03/03b02401.htm> " (Kräver prenumeration)
- Kling, R.; Spector, L.; Fortuna, J. (2004). The real stakes of virtual publishing: The transformation of E-Biomed into PubMed central. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*. Vol.55, Iss. 2; pg. 127
- McCabe, M.J. (1999) The impact of publisher mergers on journal prices: an update. [<http://www.arl.org/news/207/jrnlprices.html>] *ARL Bimonthly Report* 207:December1999
- Promemoria av arbetsgruppen för öppen vetenskaplig publicering. Arbetsgruppen för öppen vetenskaplig publicering. *Undervisningsministeriets arbetsgruppspromemior och utredningar* 2005:8 [http://www.minedu.fi/OPM/Julkaisut/2005/avoimen\\_tieteellisen\\_julkaisutoiminnan\\_tyoryhman\\_muistio?lang=sv](http://www.minedu.fi/OPM/Julkaisut/2005/avoimen_tieteellisen_julkaisutoiminnan_tyoryhman_muistio?lang=sv)
- Thompson, J B., (2005) Books in the digital age: the transformation of academic and higher education publishing in Britain and the United States . Polity Press,

Van Leeuwen, T. N.; Moed, H. F.; Tijssen, R. J. W.; Visser, M. S.; Van Raan, A. F. J. (2001). Language biases in the coverage of the Science Citation Index and its consequences for international comparisons of national research performance." *Scientometrics* 2001: 51:1, 335-346

Zerhouni, E. A. (2004). Access to Biomedical Research Information, National Institute of Health. Department of Health and Human Service. *National Library of Medicine*: 14.

## Corrections

### Country report Finland

In Table 8 on page 29, the situation has changed since February 2007, when the data for the report was gathered. There are in May 2007 a total of 13 online OA journals published in Finland and of them 11 are peer reviewed. Below a list of the journals:

- Peer review journals included in the report
  - Annales Academiæ Scientiarum Fennicæ Mathematica
  - Elore
  - Human Technology
  - Mirator
  - Silva Fennica
  - SKY Journal of linguistics
- Included in Ulrich's directory of periodicals after Febr. 2007 ( Academy Publisher, Oulu, founded in 2006. Uses page charges max 500 euros/article)
  - Journal of Communications
  - Journal of Computers
  - Journal of Multimedia
  - Journal of Networks
  - Journal of Software
- Not classified as peer review journals
  - Electronic journal of business ethics and organization studies
  - Nordic Road and Transport Research

## Thank you

We would like to thank the following persons who have supplied us with information:

Denmark:

Mogens Sandfaer  
Birgitte Sønderkaer

Technical Knowledge Center of Denmark  
Aarhus School of Business University of Aarhus

Finland:

Eeva-Liisa Aalto  
Rita Voigt

Federation of Finnish Learned Societies  
Helsinki University of Technology

Iceland:

Ingibjörg Sverrisdóttir

National and University Library of Iceland

Norway:

Per Arne Jakobsson  
Marianne Moe  
Elin Stangeland

University of Oslo  
Norwegian University of Science and Technology  
University of Bergen

Sweden:

Stefan Andersson  
Peter Berkesand  
Leif Eriksson  
Peter Linde

Uppsala University  
Linköping University  
Uppsala University  
Blekinge Institute of Technology



Nordbib Secretariat  
c/o Danish Library Agency  
H.C. Andersens Boulevard 2  
DK-1553 Copenhagen V  
Denmark  
Tel. +45 3373 3373  
[office@nordbib.net](mailto:office@nordbib.net)  
[www.nordbib.net](http://www.nordbib.net)